

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_77301 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A study to assess the effectiveness of the video-assisted teaching on anorexia nervosa among adolescent girls in selected colleges |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Regarding the method of the study developed, the questionnaires used are not presented. They must be presented and properly referenced. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>1 - In Title: A study to assess the effectiveness of the video-assisted teaching on anorexia nervosa among adolescent girls in selected colleges</p> <p>It is suggested to change the title to: <i>Effectiveness of the video-assisted teaching on anorexia nervosa among adolescent girls in selected colleges</i></p> <p>2- In : ABSTRACT</p> <p>Background Existing evidence for AN treatment in adults is weak, and no empirically supported treatment has been reliably established.</p> <p>It is suggested: <u>Literature review suggests that existing evidence for AN treatment in adults is weak, and no empirically supported treatment has been reliably established.</u></p> <p>3- In : ABSTRACT</p> <p>Background Furthermore, there will be collected blood and stool samples for a general biobank to be able to initiate research on possible pathophysiological mechanisms underlying anorexia nervosa</p> <p>It is suggested to reformulate the text, as no data are presented in this study about this.</p> <p>4- Background of the Study</p> <p>Baseline predictors of treatment outcome and dropout are studied. Furthermore, there will be collected blood and stool samples for a general biobank to be able to initiate research on possible pathophysiological mechanisms underlying AN.</p> <p>The aim of this study is not only evaluation of nutritional knowledge, practice, and dietary habits of primary school and junior high school students in Isfahan province</p> <p>It is suggested to reformulate the text, as no data are presented in this study about this.</p> <p>5- In: Need of the study: <i>Anorexia Nervosa is an eating disorder that primarily affects adolescent girls and young adult females, and is considered to be rare among males. It is estimated that 1 in 200 adolescents between the ages of 12 and 18, the high-risk group, develop anorexia nervosa and 90% of those affected are females.</i> – comment: <u>missing reference</u></p> <p>6 - In: (...) A national survey was conducted in found that 1 teenage girl in 8, and 4% of teenage boys had serious symptoms of anorexia nervosa and bulimia (...)</p> <p>Shoud be: A survey conducted in ... , i.e., <i>name the country</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | <p>Should be: (...)and found that (...)</p> <p>7- In: <i>They</i> collected data from 59 girls (...) It is suggested to reformulate the text</p> <p>8- "<i>A study was conducted</i>" is mentioned three times, it is suggested to reformulate the text</p> <p>9- In Conclusion</p> <p><i>"(...)The paired 't' test computed for pre-test knowledge and post-test knowledge score which indicate a highly significant difference in the knowledge scores among the adolescent girls(...)"</i> - comment : Statistical results must be explicitly included in the results/discussion section not in the conclusions section It is suggested to reformulate the text</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> It remains to mention the existence and confidentiality of the data used, as well as informed consent.</p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Ana Sofia Barros Soares |
| Department, University & Country | ISAVE – Instituto Superior de Saúde, Portugal |