

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77213
Title of the Manuscript:	Platelet indices as predictors of diabetic nephropathy among patients attending a tertiary care centre in South-India
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Need consistency in mentioning: is the platelet indices as a predictor or an indicator of nephropathy?</li><li>2. The design of this study is cross-sectional, so it may be more appropriate for the platelet indices as an indicator of nephropathy rather than a predictor.</li><li>3. In the research results section:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. it is very important to write down the characteristics of the study subject</li><li>b. narrate study results only that are not in the table, so no repetition, except for some important results that may need to be emphasized.</li><li>c. There should be a description of each abbreviation in each table</li><li>d. No more writing research methods in the research results section</li><li>e. it is better to test the normality of the data before the ANOVA test is carried out</li></ol></li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nur Samsu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brawijaya University, Indonesia</b>