

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77209
Title of the Manuscript:	TO COMPARE MEAN TIME TAKEN FOR TRACHEAL INTUBATION WITH AIRTRAQ VERSUS MACINTOSH LARYNGOSCOPE IN ELECTIVE SURGERIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title: Identification as a randomised trial in the title is recommended 2. Abstract: Please mention whether participants, care givers, and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to group assignment. 3. Methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please mention whether participants, care givers, and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to group assignment. - Who recorded the time taken for each case? - What is the previous experience of the person performing the instrument with each instrument respectively? - Please include the sample size calculation/power of the study - Please include the statistical analysis used (software, types of test used, type of analysis: Intention-to-treat/per-protocol?, level of significance etc) 4. Results: Any adverse event reported from both groups? 5. Conclusion: Should be amended to match the abstract's conclusion (better match with the objectives). 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please get the grammar and spelling checked and corrected thoroughly. 2. First paragraph of the results – replication of the methods 	
Optional/General comments	None	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Fei Yee Lee</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Malaysia</i>