

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77192
Title of the Manuscript:	Technical Quality of Endodontic Treatment Performed by Underperforming Undergraduate Dental Students
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting research topic. The methods and materials are well described and results are presented clearly. However, there are few comments and suggestions for the authors:</p> <p>a) The importance of having extra clinical session to improve students' clinical performance should be included in introduction section.</p> <p>b) The results should be adequately discussed especially for high percentage of poor density and inadequate root canal taper. Inadequate time may not adequately justify the high percentage of both criteria. It may mostly due to suboptimal clinical skills in this group of students.</p> <p>c) Has any extra clinical training been provided to these students despite of provision of extra sessions in order to enhance their clinical skills? This is worth to discuss too.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Tew In Meei</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>The National University of Malaysia, Malaysia</i></b>