

## Original Research Article

### Phytochemical, Proximate, *in-vitro* Anti-malarial, *in-vitro* Anti-inflammatory and *in-vitro* Antimicrobial Screening of leaf Extracts of *Combretum platypterum* (Welw) Hutch & Dalziel

Comment [Y1]: Anti-inflammatory

Comment [Y2]: extracts

#### Abstract

**Aim:** *Combretum platypterum* is used ethnomedically in treating so many diseases in Africa, helminthiasis, sexually transmitted diseases, conjunctivitis, malaria, lumps, fever, eye problems, diarrhea, lower backache, coughs, swellings, as febrifuge, tonic, and to stop post-partum bleeding. This work was aimed at investigating the phytochemical, proximate, anti malarial, anti inflammatory, anti bacterial and anti fungal activities of leaf extracts of *Combretum platypterum*.

Comment [Y3]: Ethno medically

Comment [Y4]: Statement is poorly constructed.. author should reconstruct .

**Methods:** Leaves of *C. platypterum* were cold extracted with Methanol, ethyl acetate and n-hexane. The extracts were subjected to preliminary phytochemical, proximate, anti malarial, anti inflammatory and anti microbial tests.

Comment [Y5]: Author should include the percentage of each of the solvent used for the extractions.

**Results:** The results revealed that alkaloids, terpenoids, flavonoids, cardiac glycosides, carbohydrates, resins and reducing sugars were found in all the extracts. It was also observed that the presence of the metabolites in each extract was in polarity-dependent manner. The percentage composition of carbohydrates was also the highest followed by the composition of proteins. The suppressions of the extracts against *P. falciparum* at  $IC_{50}$  mg/ml were found to be higher than that of chloroquine at  $IC_{50}$   $\mu$ g/ml. It was also found that methanol extract has the highest inhibition against gram positive, gram negative bacteria and *C. albicans* in a dose-dependent manner. *K. pneumonia* was resistant to the inhibition of the extracts. The anti inflammatory assays showed that methanol extracts at 500mg/ml exhibited higher lipoxygenase Inhibition than diclofenac at 500 $\mu$ g/ml. Ethyl acetate extracts showed highest inhibition heat-induced hemolysis, protein denaturation and proteinase among all the extracts.

Comment [Y6]: antimicrobial

Comment [Y7]: author should write in full first before abbreviation.

Comment [Y8]: Methanolic extracts

Comment [Y9]: Gram

Comment [Y10]: Gram

Comment [Y11]:

Comment [Y12]: Space between figure and unit

Comment [Y13]: space

Comment [Y14]: of what?

**Conclusion:** The therapeutic use of the leaves of *C. platypterum* against malaria and swelling was confirmed by the activities of the extracts against inflammation and *P. falciparum*. Isolation of the bioactive compounds responsible for these activities is recommended.

**Keywords:** *Combretum platypterum*, Anti-inflammatory, Anti-plasmodium, Phytochemicals, Antimicrobial, Proximate

## Introduction

In developing countries, the use of plants as alternative therapy for the treatment of microbial infections is significant in the maintenance of good health. About 85% of the world population still depends on the medicinal plants as their source of primary health care. These plants have been reported to be a resource of drug discovery and 80% of synthetic drugs were derived from the plants (1). *Combretum platypterum* (Welw.) Hutch. & Dalziel belongs to the family Combretaceae which is known to contain many secondary metabolites and have many pharmacological activities (2). *Combretum platypterum* is used ethnomedically in treating helminthiasis, sexually transmitted diseases, conjunctivitis, malaria, lumps, fever, eye problems, diarrhea, lower backache, coughs, swellings, as febrifuge, tonic, and to stop post-partum bleeding (3). The Nigeria, paste made from the leaf and leaves of other plants is used in treating eye problems, the decoction of the root is used in treating malaria (3-5).

**Comment [Y15]:** Author should indicate reference

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Collection and identification of the plant

The leaves of *Combretum platypterum* were collected within the surroundings of the Adada River in Nsukka Local Government Area, Enugu State, Nigeria. Mr. Alfred Ozioko (The Chief Taxonomist) of International Center for Ethnomedicine and Drug Development identified and authenticated the leaves. Herbarium specimens were deposited in the herbarium of the International Center for Ethnomedicine and Drug Development (Voucher number: Intercedd/260510).

### Extraction procedure

The extraction was carried out using a cold extraction method. The *Combretum platypterum* leaves were air-dried and ground. 1 kg of the sample was then macerated with 6 liters each of methanol, ethyl acetate and n-hexane for 48 hours in an air-tight container. The mixtures were filtered with a glass funnel embedded with cotton wool into a beaker. The filtrates were concentrated by evaporating in a rotary evaporator at 40°C to remove the solvents and labeled CpLM (methanol extract), CpLE (ethyl acetate extract) and CpLH (n-hexane extract).

**Comment [Y16]:** Air-dried ,where and at what temperature?

**Comment [Y17]:** Pulverized with what and how?

### Phytochemical Analysis

The preliminary phytochemical analysis for the presence of flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, saponins, carbohydrates, resins, tannins, phenolic compounds, reducing sugars, cardiac glycosides, proteins, was done using standard method as described by Ayoola *et al.*, 2008; Yadavalli *et al.*, 2018; Khlif *et al.*, 2015; Edeoga *et al.*, 2005; Fayaz *et al.*, 2017; Mera *et al.*, 2019; Gul *et al.*, 2017; Banu *et al.*, 2015; Roghini and Vijayalakshmi, 2018; Keo *et al.*, 2017; Usman *et al.*, 2009 (6-16), with little modifications. .

Comment [Y18]: Italicized (l)

Comment [Y19]: |

Comment [Y20]: i

Comment [Y21]: |

Comment [Y22]: |

Comment [Y23]: |

Comment [Y24]: |

### Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis

The quantitative analysis was carried out according to the methods described by Raaman, 2006; Ukoha *et al.*, 2011; Senguttuvan *et al.*, 2014; Sankhalkar and Vernekar, 2016; Anarado *et al.*, 2020 (17-21) with slight modifications

Comment [Y25]: |

Comment [Y26]: |

Comment [Y27]: |

### Alkaloids determination

Into a 250 ml beaker, 5 g of the powdered sample and 200 ml of 10% acetic acid in ethanol were added. The mixture was stood for four (4) hours at room temperature (25°C). Thereafter, the mixture was filtered through Whatmann filter paper No. 42. The filtrate was concentrated by evaporation over a steam bath to ¼ of its original volume. To precipitate the alkaloid, concentrated ammonium hydroxide solution was added in drops to the extract until it was in excess. The resulting alkaloid precipitate was recovered by filtration using previously weighed filter paper. After filtration, the residue on the filter paper is the alkaloid, which is dried in the oven at 80°C. The alkaloids content was calculated and expressed as a percentage of the weight of the sample analyzed.

$$\% \text{ weight of Alkaloid} = (W_2 - W_1) / \text{weight of the sample} \times 100/1$$

Where,

W1 = weight of filter paper

W2 = weight of filter paper + alkaloid precipitate (residue)

### Flavonoids determination

With 100 ml of 80% aqueous methanol, 10 g of the plant sample was extracted at room temperature. The whole solution was filtered through Whatmann filter paper No. 42 (125mm). The filtrate was transferred into a crucible and evaporated into dryness over a water bath and weighed to a constant weight.

Comment [Y28]: spacing

$$\% \text{ Flavonoids} = (W_2 - W_1) / \text{weight of the sample} \times 100/1$$

Where,

W<sub>1</sub>= Weight of crucible

W<sub>2</sub>= Weight of crucible + Flavonoid extract  
(residue)

### Determination of Saponins

Into a conical flask, 20 g of the sample and 100 cm<sup>3</sup> of 20% aqueous ethanol were added. The mixture was heated over a hot water bath for 4 hours with continuous stirring at about 55°C. The mixture was filtered and the residue re-extracted with another 200 ml 20% ethanol. The combined extracts were reduced to 40 ml over water bath at about 90°C. The concentrate was transferred into 250 ml separatory funnel and 20 ml of diethyl ether was added and shaken vigorously. The aqueous layer was recovered while the ether layer was discarded. The purification process was repeated. 60 ml of n-butanol was added. The combined n-butanol extracts were washed twice with 10 ml of 5% aqueous sodium chloride. The remaining solution was heated in water bath. After evaporation, the sample was dried in oven to a constant weight. The saponins content was calculated in percentage.

$$\% \text{ Saponins} = (W_2 - W_1) / \text{weight of the sample} \times 100/1$$

Where,

W<sub>1</sub>= Weight of filter paper

W<sub>2</sub>= Weight of filter paper + Saponin extract  
(residue)

### Tannins Determination

Into a 50 ml plastic bottle, 500 mg of the sample was added. 50 ml of distilled water was added and shaken for 1 h in a mechanical shaker. This was filtered into a 50 ml of volumetric flask and made up the mark. Then 5 ml of the filtrate was added into a test tube and mixed with 2 ml of 0.1M FeCl<sub>3</sub> in 0.1M HCl and 0.008M potassium ferrocyanide. The absorbance was measured at 120 nm within 10 min.

$$\% \text{ Tannin} = (A_n/A_s) \times C \times (100/W) \times (V_f/V_n)$$

Where:

A<sub>n</sub>= Absorbance of test sample

A<sub>s</sub>= Absorbance of standard solution

C= Concentration of standard solution

W= Weight of sample

V<sub>f</sub>= Total volume of extract

Comment [Y29]: space

Comment [Y30]: space

Vn= Volume of extract analyzed

#### Total phenolics content

Total phenolic content was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu (FC) reagent. The plant extracts (0.5 mL) was mixed with 0.5 mL of FC reagent (1:1 diluted with distilled water) and incubated for 5 min at 22°C followed by addition of 2 mL of 20% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The mixture was then incubated further at 22°C for 90 min and the absorbance was measured at 650 nm. The total phenolic content (mg/mL) was calculated using gallic acid as standard.

#### In vitro antiplasmodial assay against *P. falciparum* strains

The method used to measure parasite viability was the parasite lactate dehydrogenase activity (pLDH) method of Makler et al. 2013 (22). Each extract was screened for in vitro antiplasmodial activity using the chloroquine sensitive (CQS) D10 strain. Antiplasmodial activities of extracts were investigated against the chloroquine sensitive (CQS) and chloroquine resistant (CQR) strain of *P. falciparum*.

**Comment [Y31]:** follow the authors guide in writing the in-referencing.

**Comment [Y32]:** !

Extracts were serially diluted two-fold in complete medium up to a concentration of 0.5 µg/ml using a flat-bottomed 96-well microtitre plate. CQ was taken as a positive control drug and was tested at a starting concentration of 0.5 µg/ml. Unparasitised erythrocyte (RBC) was added to column 1 (blank) which had no drugs, while parasitized red blood cells (pRBC) were added to columns 2 to 12. The plate was gassed for 2 min and incubated for 48 h. A final hematocrit and parasitemia of 2% was used for all experiments. Parasite growth in the wells containing different extract was compared to control wells. The IC<sub>50</sub> recorded in this study is the mean of 3 independent experiments.

#### Statistical analysis and data evaluation

To ascertain the absorbance of each well from the in vitro antiplasmodial experiment, plates were read when the colour changes from yellow to purple, using a microplate reader at 590 nm. The percentage parasite survival and the concentration that inhibits the growth of parasites by 50% were determined by measuring the conversion of NBT by *P. falciparum*. This was achieved by analyzing the readings from the microplate reader using Microsoft Excel® 2002, and the IC<sub>50</sub> value was determined using a non-linear dose response curve fitting analysis in Graph.

## **Antimicrobial Analysis**

### **Samples**

Three samples of plant extracts were analyzed. These include: CpLM, CpLH, and CpLE.

The antimicrobial sensitivity of both the crude and prepared concentrations of the plant extract was determined using the agar-well diffusion method (23-24)

### **Test organisms**

Strains of *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus niger* were used in this study. These were obtained from the Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology and Biotechnology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka.

Comment [Y33]: spelling

Comment [Y34]: spelling

### **Culture Media and Other Reagents used in the Microbiological Analyses**

Culture media used were Nutrient agar, Nutrient Broth, Mueller Hinton Agar, Sabouraud Dextrose Agar and Sabouraud Dextrose Broth (Oxoid Limited, England). Culture media were prepared according to the instructions of the manufacturers.

### **Preparation of Stock/Working Solutions**

For the primary antimicrobial screening of the samples, stock solutions were prepared by dissolving 15 mg of each sample in 3 mL of DMSO to obtain a working concentration of 5 mg/mL. For determining the MICs, stock solutions of the samples were prepared by dissolving 60 mg of the each sample in 3 mL of DMSO to attain a working concentration of 20 mg/mL. These were transferred to a screw capped bottle and stored at 4°C.

Comment [Y35]: what % of DMSO

Comment [Y36]: in what?

### **Primary Screening of the Samples for Antibacterial and Antifungal Activity**

The antibacterial and antifungal activities of the samples were determined by the agar well diffusion method. Dilutions of 2.5, 1.25, and 0.625 mg/mL were prepared from the 5 mg/mL stock solution of each sample in a 2-fold dilution process. Twenty (20) mL of molten Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) (for bacterial and fungal isolates respectively) were poured into sterile Petri dishes (90 mm) and allowed to set. Standardized concentrations (McFarland 0.5) of overnight cultures of test isolates were swabbed aseptically on the agar plates and holes (6 mm) were made in the agar plates using a sterile metal cork-borer. Twenty (20 µl) of the various dilutions of the samples and controls were put in each hole under aseptic condition, kept at room temperature for 1 hour to allow the agents to diffuse into the agar medium and incubated accordingly. Ciprofloxacin (50 µg/mL) and fluconazole (30 µg/mL) were used as positive controls in the antibacterial and antifungal evaluations respectively; while

DMSO was used as the negative control. The MHA plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, and the SDA plates were incubated at room temperature (25-27°C) for 24-72 hours. The inhibition zones diameters (IZDs) were measured and recorded. The size of the cork borer (6mm) was deducted from the values recorded for the IZDs to get the actual diameter. This procedure was conducted in triplicate and the mean IZDs calculated and recorded.

Comment [Y37]: What %?

Comment [Y38]: space

### Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of the Samples on Test Isolates

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is defined as the lowest concentration of the antimicrobial agent that inhibits the bacterial growth. The MICs of the samples on the test isolates were determined by the agar dilution method. The stock solution (20 mg/mL) of each sample was further diluted in a 2-fold serial dilution to obtain the following concentrations: 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, and 0.625 mg/mL. Agar plates were prepared by pouring 4 mL of molten double strength MHA and SDA (for bacterial and fungal isolates respectively) into sterile Petri plates containing 1mL of the various dilutions of the sample making the final plate concentrations to become 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, and 0.125 mg/mL.

Comment [Y39]: not essential

The test isolates which were grown overnight in broth were adjusted to McFarland 0.5 standard and streaked onto the surface of the agar plates containing dilutions of the sample. The MHA plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and the SDA plates were incubated at room temperature (25-27°C) for 24-72days, after which all plates were observed for growth. The minimum dilution (concentration) of the samples completely inhibiting the growth of each organism was taken as the MIC.

## IN VITRO ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITY

### a. Heat-Induced Hemolysis

This test was carried out as described by Okoli et al. (2008) (25). Briefly, the isotonic buffer solution (5 ml) containing 100, 200 and 500 µg/ml of the aqueous extracts were put in 4 sets (perconcentration) of centrifuge tubes. Control tubes contained 5 ml of the vehicle or 5 ml of 100 µg/ml of hydro-cortisone. Erythrocyte suspension (0.05 ml) was added to each tube and gently mixed. A pair of the tubes was incubated at 54 °C for 20 min in a regulated water bath. The other pair was maintained at 0–4°C in a freezer for 20 min. At the end of the incubation, the reaction mixture was centrifuged at 1300 g for 3 min and the absorbance OD of the supernatant measured at 540 nm using a UV/VIS spectrometer (Optima, SP-3000, Tokyo, Japan). The level of hemolysis was calculated using the following equation Inhibition of hemolysis (%) = 100 [1 - ((OD2-OD1)/(OD3-OD1))] Where OD1 = absorbance of test sample unheated; OD2 =absorbance of test sample heated; OD3 = absorbance of control sample heated.

Comment [Y40]: I

Comment [Y41]: Which one used

Comment [Y42]: space

### b. Effect on Protein Denaturation

Protein Denaturation assay of the CpLH, CpLE and CpLM was performed according to the method described by Banerjee et al, 2014 (26). 0.2 ml of egg albumin (from fresh hen's egg), 2.8 ml of phosphate buffered saline (pH 6.4) and 2 ml of varying concentrations of the CpLH, CpLE, and CpLM extracts by which the concentrations (µg/ml) became 100, 200 and 500. Then the mixtures were incubated at 37°C

Comment [Y43]: et al.,

± 2°C in a biological oxygen demand incubator for 15 minutes and then heated at 70°C for 5 minutes. After cooling, their absorbance was measured at 660 nm using a UV/VIS spectrometer (Optima, SP-3000, Tokyo, Japan). (2018). The reaction mixture (5 mL) consisted of 0.2 mL of 1% bovine albumin, 4.78 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 6.4), and different concentrations of the extracts, and the mixture was mixed, and was incubated in a water bath (37 °C) for 15 min, and then the reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 5 min. After cooling, the turbidity was measured at 660 nm using a UV/VIS spectrometer (Optima, SP-3000, Tokyo, Japan). Diclofenac sodium at the final concentrations (µg/ml) of 100, 200 and 500 were used as reference and treated similarly for determination of absorbance. The percentage inhibition of protein denaturation was calculated by using the following formula:

$$\% \text{ inhibition of denaturation} = 100 \times (1 - A2/A1),$$

where A1 = absorption of the control sample, and A2 = absorption of the test sample.

### c. Proteinase Inhibitory Activity

Protease inhibition activity was analyzed by Dabhade et al, 2013 (27). Aliquots of trypsin and LPI were prepared in 0.2 mol/L phosphate buffer (pH 7.2). One mL aliquot of trypsin (400 U/mL) was pre-incubated with 1 mL of a suitable dilution of the protease inhibitor (containing 0.18 mg/mL protein concentration) at 37 for 1 h. One mL of 0.65% casein was added to the trypsin-LPI mixture. The reaction mixture was immediately swirled and incubated at 37. After 10 minutes of incubation, reaction was arrested by addition of 3.0 mL chilled TCA mixture (0.11 M trichloroacetic acid, 0.22 M sodium acetate and 0.33 M acetic acid). The content was mixed thoroughly by swirling and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction mixture was filtered through Whatman filter paper no.1 and the absorbance of the filtrate recorded at 280 nm. Similarly, an assay set without protease inhibitor was analyzed. Each assay was conducted in triplicate. One unit of protease activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that liberated 1 µg of tyrosine per mL of the reaction mixture per min under the assay conditions. Protease inhibitor activity was defined as the difference between the proteolytic activities measured in the absence and presence of inhibitor. The protease inhibitor activity was expressed in terms of per cent inhibition

Comment [Y44]: I

### d. Lipoxxygenase Inhibition Assay

Lipoxxygenase inhibition activity of the extracts of leafy vegetables was assayed according to the method of Anosike et al. [(2012)] (28). Briefly, a mixture of a solution of sodium borate buffer (1 mL, 0.1 M, pH 8.8) and lipoxxygenase (10 µL, final concentration 8000 U/mL) was incubated different concentrations of the extracts in a 1 mL cuvette at room temperature (30 ± 2 °C) for 5 min. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 10 µL linoleic acid substrate (10 mmol). The absorbance of the reaction solution was measured at 234 nm using a UV/VIS spectrometer (Optima, SP-3000, Tokyo, Japan). Phosphate buffer solution was used as the control, and the percentage inhibition of lipoxxygenase was calculated using the following equation:

Comment [Y45]:

% inhibition =  $100 \times (\text{absorbance of the control} - \text{absorbance of the sample}) / \text{absorbance of the control}$

## Results And Discussions

Comment [Y46]: and

**Table 1: Results of Qualitative Analysis of Leaf Extracts of *C. platypterum***

Phytochemical Constituents	CpLH	CpLE	CpLM
Alkaloids	+	++	+++
Saponins	-	+++	+
Tannins	-	++	+++
Flavonoids	+	+++	+
Steroids	+++	+	-
Terpenoids	+	+	+
Cardiac Glycosides	+	+	+
Carbohydrates	+	+	+
Resins	+	++	+
Phenolics	-	++	+++
Reducing Sugars	+	+	+
Proteins	+	+	+

Comment [Y47]: Author should indicate key

**Table 2: Results of Quantitative Analysis of Leaf Sample of *C. platypterum***

Phytochemical Constituents	Quantity (%)
----------------------------	--------------

Alkaloids	8.22
Flavonoids	8.62
Saponins	12.25
Tannins	15.70
Phenolics	17.44
Steroids	6.53

## Discussions

The result of preliminary qualitative phytochemical analysis showed that alkaloids, flavonoids, cardiac glycosides, carbohydrate, resins were found in all the extracts (table 1). It was also observed that the polarity of the solvents used played a role in the metabolites found in some extracts. Example was seen in the presence of tannins and phenolic compounds where the abundances of the metabolites increased with increase in polarity of the solvent used. The absence of tannins and phenolics in the n-hexane leaf extract and their presence in the methanol extract is in line with the previous work done by Thavamoney et al., 2018 (29), that the solubility of phenolic compounds increased with increasing solvent polarity. Also same was also observed where the abundance of the steroids decreased with increase in polarity of the solvents, methanol extract contained all the secondary metabolites except steroids, which was not surprising since steroids are known to be hydrophobic (30-31). The ethno-medicinal use of the plant in treating malaria could be as result of the presence of alkaloids, saponins, terpenoids and flavonoids (32-38) found in the plant. The use was also confirmed by the research of Wande and Babatunde, 2017 (39).

Comment [Y48]: I

## Proximate Composition of *C. platypterum* Leaf sample

Comment [Y49]: cap

The result for the proximate composition of CPL were presented in table 3 and means plot showed in fig 1. The results showed that carbohydrate (66.32%) and protein (14.62%) were the


highest concentrations in CPL, followed by moisture (6.16%), crude fiber (5.51%), ash (4.69%) while oil was the least content (2.68%).

**Table 3: Proximate Composition of *C.platypterum* Leaf Leaves**

Parameters	Composition (%)
Moisture	6.1667± 0.28868 <sup>c</sup>
Ash	4.6900± 0.37723 <sup>d</sup>
Protein	14.6200± 0.10583 <sup>b</sup>
Fiber	5.5100± 0.49122 <sup>cd</sup>
Oil	2.6867± 0.38280 <sup>e</sup>
Carbohydrate	66.3267± 0.75963 <sup>a</sup>

\*Values are mean scores ± Standard deviation of three (3) replicates

\*Data in the same column bearing different superscript differ significantly (p < 0.05).



**Fig 1: Means Plots for the proximate composition of *C.platypterum* Leaf sample**

The anti malaria efficacy of the three extract of CPL and control (chloroquine) are presented in table 4. Percentage inhibition or suppression analysis showed that the observed percentage parasitemia reduction due to the extract was not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ) from the positive control with **CPLM (IC<sub>50</sub> mg/ml) having the highest efficacy**. The percentage suppression observed for all the extracts did exceed the inhibition by the control drug. The inhibition *in-vitro* exhibited by the methanol extract in this study supported findings of Ogbole et al, 2019 (40) that the methanol extract of the plant also showed antiplasmodial activity *in-vitro*. Similarly, acetone extract of *C. platypterum* showed also a higher suppression against the parasite than the chloroquine (39). But contrary to the report of Wande and Babatunde, 2017 that methanol extract of *C. platypterum* showed no activity *in-vitro* at 25mg/ml, methanol extract from this study showed a higher activity than chloroquine at (IC<sub>50</sub> mg/ml). This result also validated the therapeutic use of the plant as anti malaria agent.

Comment [Y51]: !

**Table 4: *In-vitro* Antiplasmodial Efficacy Of *C. platypterum* Extracts**

Comment [Y52]: Put in order

Extract/Control	Efficacy
CPLH (IC <sub>50</sub> mg/ml)	9.0967± 0.09 <sup>c</sup>
CPLM (IC <sub>50</sub> mg/ml)	15.1667± 0.28 <sup>b</sup>
CPLE (IC <sub>50</sub> mg/ml)	21.2333± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>
Chloroquine(IC <sub>50</sub> µg/ml)	4.5833± 0.38 <sup>d</sup>

\*Values are mean scores ± Standard deviation of three (3) replicates

\*Data in the same column bearing different superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ).




Fig 2: Mean Plots for the *In-vitro* Antiplasmodial Efficacy Of *C. platypterum* Extracts

Comment [Y53]: Put in order

#### Antibacterial Activity of *C. platypterum* Extracts

Three CPL extracts studied with four different concentration levels on both gram positive and gram negative bacteria, formed four subsets in accordance with the zone of inhibition values. A one-way ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of In-vitro antibacterial activity of compounds. From Table 5, we have found a statistically significant result. Since the result was


significant, we computed a Turkey HSD test to compare the compound pair wise. From Table 5 it was observed that the in-vitro antibacterial activity of control (Ciprofloxacin), was significantly different from all other compounds. It was evident from the ANOVA that the antimicrobial efficacy of CPL samples was dose dependent as at 5.0 concentrations, the extracts exhibited higher inhibition zones than at lower concentrations. The inhibition of growths of *B. subtilis*, *E.coli*, *P. aeruginosa* could be attributed to the presence of alkaloids which have been reported to inhibit the bacteria by perturbing bacterial FtsZ Z-ring formation and inhibiting bacterial cytokinesis (41). It was not surprising that methanol leaf extract showed inhibition against *S. typhi* since it also contained great amount of tannins which *S. typhi* was said to be sensitive to (42). The presence of reducing sugars in all the three extracts can also be said to be responsible for the antimicrobial activity of some of the extracts (43). The non sensitivity of Methicillin resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* against methanol leaf extract of *C. platypterum* (44) is against our findings, since our methanol extract showed inhibition against the *S. aureus*.

**Table 5: In-vitro antibacterial activity of *C. platypterum* Extracts**


EXTRACT	<i>S. typhi</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>K. pneumonia</i>	<i>Bacillus spp</i>
CPLM5mg/ml	15.36± 0.77 <sup>b</sup>	14.46± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	19.73± 0.77 <sup>b</sup>	28.13± 0.79 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	28.13± 0.77 <sup>b</sup>
CPLM2.5mg/ml	15.03± 0.26 <sup>c</sup>	14.10± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	18.00± 0.26 <sup>c</sup>	17.00± 0.21 <sup>e</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	22.20± 0.26 <sup>c</sup>
CPLM1.5mg/ml	12.00± 1.02 <sup>d</sup>	11.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	15.67± 1.02 <sup>c</sup>	13.87± 0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	20.86± 1.02 <sup>d</sup>
CPLM0.6mg/ml	10.01± 0.50 <sup>f</sup>	7.90± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	15.03± 0.50 <sup>f</sup>	0.00± 0.30 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	14.46± 0.50 <sup>f</sup>
CPL5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	17.27± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	13.13± 0.23 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	28.10± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>
CPL2.5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	17.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	10.13± 0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	17.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>
CPL1.5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	15.17± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	10.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	13.87± 0.77 <sup>g</sup>
CPL0.6mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	11.30± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	8.53± 0.00 <sup>g</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>
CPLH5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	9.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	8.40± 0.00 <sup>g</sup>	28.13± 0.42 <sup>c</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	18.03± 0.05 <sup>c</sup>
CPLH2.5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	7.20± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	7.57± 0.00 <sup>g</sup>	22.20± 0.23 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	14.37± 0.10 <sup>f</sup>
CPLH1.5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	7.00± 0.00 <sup>g</sup>	20.87± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	10.51± 0.20 <sup>h</sup>
CPLH0.6mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	4.67± 0.00 <sup>g</sup>	14.46± 0.47 <sup>c</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	8.03± 0.50 <sup>i</sup>
Ciprofloxacin50µg	34.83± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	19.1± 1.15 <sup>a</sup>	22.33± 0.57 <sup>a</sup>	41.66± 0.57 <sup>a</sup>	23.66± 1.15 <sup>a</sup>	38.93± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>

\*Values are mean scores ± Standard deviation of three (3) replicates

\*Data in the same column bearing different superscript differ significantly (p < 0.05).




**FIG 3: Box -plots represent Zone of inhibition in mm of the Extracts against *S. typhi***




**FIG 4: Box -plots represent Zone of inhibition in mm of the Extracts against *E. coli***

UNDER




**FIG 5: Box -plots represent Zone of inhibition in mm of the Extracts against *S. aureus***

UNDER




**FIG 6: Box -plots represent Zone of inhibition in mm of the Extracts against *P. aeruginosa***

UNDER



**FIG 7: Box -plots represent Zone of inhibition in mm of the Extracts against *K. pneumonia***

UNDER



**FIG 8: Box -plots represent Zone of inhibition in mm of the Extracts against *B. subtilis***

UNDER

### Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (Mg/mL)

Table 6: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (Mg/mL)

Microorganism	CpLH	CpLM	CpLE	Control
<i>S. typhi</i>	5.30	0.30	7.30	0.30
<i>E. coli</i>	2.90	0.30	0.50	0.30
<i>S. aureus</i>	0.30	0.50	0.50	1.00
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	0.30	0.30	5.30	0.30
<i>S. pneumonia</i>	10.20	10.60	15.50	0.30
<i>B. subtilis</i>	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00
<i>C. albicans</i>	3.30	0.30	10.30	0.30
<i>A. niger</i>	10.20	0.60	2.50	0.30

### Antifungal Activity

Three CPL extracts, along with standard Fluconazole were studied with different concentration levels on two different fungi. From Table 6 is observed that there is significant difference between on in-vitro antifungal activity of the three CPL extract. A one-way ANOVA tool was applied to compare and compute the effect of in-vitro antifungal activity of compounds. From Table 3, we have found that the result showing statistically significant. CpLM showed no inhibition against *Aspergillus spp* in all concentrations, while *C. albicans* was susceptible to inhibition of CpLM in a dose-dependent manner, but was resistant to inhibition of CpLH in all concentrations. CpLE was only activity against the two fungi at 5µg/ml. *Aspergillus spp* was also sensitive to inhibition of CpLH in a concentration-dependent manner.

Comment [Y54]: No table 3 showing this.

Comment [Y55]: space


Table 7: In-vitro antifungal activity of *C. platyterum* Extracts and Control

Extract	<i>Candida albicans</i>	<i>Aspergillus spp</i>
CPLM5mg/ml	12.00± 0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
CPLM2.5mg/ml	6.50± 0.00 <sup>e</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
CPLM1.5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
CPLM0.6mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
CPLE5mg/ml	18.00± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	6.00± 0.11 <sup>bc</sup>
CPLE2.5mg/ml	10.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
CPLE1.5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>

CPLE0.6mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	0.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
CPLH5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	7.80± 0.00 <sup>b</sup>
CPLH2.5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	5.30± 0.00 <sup>c</sup>
CPLH1.5mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	5.00± 0.00 <sup>c</sup>
CPLH0.6mg/ml	0.00± 0.00 <sup>f</sup>	4.00± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
Fluconazole 30µg/ml	32.271.36 <sup>a</sup>	12.00± 0.00 <sup>a</sup>

\*Values are mean scores ± Standard deviation of three (3) replicates

\*Data in the same column bearing different superscript differ significantly (p < 0.05).



**FIG 9:** Box -plots represent Zone of inhibition in mm of the *Extracts* against *A. niger*




FIG 10: Box -plots represent Zone of inhibition in mm of the Extracts against *C. albicans*

### Anti Inflammatory Activity

Inflammation, a biological process that involves vascular and cellular events coordinated by mediators like prostaglandin, leukotrienes, cytokines and thromboxanes that include an essential and protective mechanism of the organism in response to injury, infection and trauma (Benso et al, 2016). Inflammation is considered the major contributor to rheumatic and heart diseases. Many plants such as *C. Molle*, *C. Zenkeri*, *C. Micranthum* have shown anti-inflammatory property, which they do so by inhibition of phospholipase A2 (45). The anti-

inflammatory activities of CPL extract were determined in terms of Effect on Protein Denaturation (EOPD), Heat-Induced Hemolysis (HIH), Proteinase Inhibitory Activity(PIA) and Lipoxygenase Inhibition Assay (LIA) using different concentrations of CPL extracts. From Table 8, it was observed that the result was statistically significant. Since the result was significant, a Turkey HSD test was computed to compare the compound pair wise. Table 7 shows that the standard (DECLOFENAC500µg) was significantly different from other samples at (p>0.05). Among the CPL extracts, CPLE500mg/ml and CPLM500mg/ml have the highest anti-inflammatory activities and significantly different from other extracts. The CPLE concentrations exhibited higher anti-inflammatory activities than CPLM samples while the CPLH samples showed lowest anti-inflammatory activities in the study. The result also showed that anti-inflammatory activities of CPL were dose dependent as the highest activities were observed at 500mg/ml.

Comment [Y56]: space

Comment [Y57]: space

Comment [Y58]: space

Comment [Y59]: space

The anti inflammatory activity *in-vitro* observed could be as a result of the presence of flavonoids found in all the extracts (46-49). The anti inflammatory activities shown by the extracts supported the anti inflammatory activity of earlier work by Omodamiro and Obinna, 2017 (50) on ethanol leaf extract of the plant. They reported that the leaf extract significantly inhibited egg albumin induced inflammation. exhibited by some species in the same genus; *C. Zenkeri* inhibited the release of inflammatory mediators in rats and inhibited phospholipase activity (51); at 50,100 and 200 mg/kg, the aqueous and methanol leaf extracts of *C. micranthum* was able to reduced hind paw oedema in rats *in-vivo*(52-53); mollic acid glucoside isolated from *C. molle* was also able to reduce the albumin-induced inflammation of hind paw (54); Aqueous leaves extract of *C. collinum* decreased pro-inflammatory mediators in TNFα stimulated HaCaT cells (55); Combretin A and Combretin B from *C. fragrans* showed significant (p < 0.001) inhibition against carrageenan-induced acute inflammation (56); Aqueous bark extract of *C. glutinosum* was also able to inhibit ear edema in rats (57); two triterpenes, betulonic acid and cabraleone isolated from the leaves of *C. glutinosum* were able to inhibit induced rat paw oedema similar to acetylsalicylic acid (58). *C. sericeum* also significantly inhibited carrageenan induced inflammation *in-vivo* (59) and many other species of the genus also showed this activity indicating that most of the species exhibited anti inflammatory activities. The *in-vitro* anti inflammatory activity of extracts justified the use of the plant in treating swellings (3)

Table 8: *In-vitro* Anti-Inflammatory Activities of *C. platypterum* Extracts

Anti-inflammatory activities	PLANT EXTRACT											
	Control											
	CPLH			CPLM			CPLE			Diclofenac		
	100mg/ml	200mg/ml	500mg/ml	100mg/ml	200mg/ml	500mg/ml	100mg/ml	200mg/ml	500mg/ml	100µg/ml	200µg/ml	500µg/ml
Heat-	3.8867±	7.7067	20.7233	18.9	41.36667	51.7233	20.8433	41.29	64.6767	22.4	44.7233	73.0333


Anti-inflammatory activities	PLANT											EXTRACT		
	Control			CPLH			CPLM			CPLE			Diclofenac	
Induced Hemolysis	0.040414 <sup>f</sup>	± 0.19604 <sup>f</sup>	± 0.62660 <sup>e</sup>	± 0.18027 <sup>e</sup>	± 0.92915 <sup>d</sup>	± 1.13244 <sup>f</sup>	± 0.27135 <sup>e</sup>	± 0.28513 <sup>d</sup>	± 0.33560 <sup>b</sup>	± 4.351E-15 <sup>e</sup>	± 4.19185 <sup>d</sup>	± 1.7091 <sup>a</sup>		
Effect on Protein Denaturation	45.7 ± 1.21243 <sup>i</sup>	49.8 ± 0.91651 <sup>h</sup>	54.3667 ± 1.09696 <sup>e</sup>	54.1567 ± 0.74272 <sup>g</sup>	55.6033 ± 0.39399 <sup>e</sup>	61.63 ± 0.64085 <sup>f</sup>	72.4633 ± 2.108372 <sup>2</sup>	79.8667 ± 1.001665 <sup>3</sup>	84.1333 ± 0.15275 <sup>b</sup>	75.8133 ± 1.490816 <sup>3</sup>	84.3667 ± 0.55076 <sup>b</sup>	88.7667 ± 0.40415 <sup>a</sup>		
Proteinase Inhibitory Activity	17.4933333 ± 1.147228 <sup>i</sup>	27.38666 ± 0.24906 <sup>h</sup>	37.28333 ± 0.25658 <sup>f</sup>	42.41666 ± 1.45115 <sup>e</sup>	46.43333 ± 1.159022 <sup>e</sup>	53.6667 ± 0.66583 <sup>f</sup>	34.1667 ± 0.28868 <sup>e</sup>	40.8 ± 0.91652 <sup>e</sup>	55.7067 ± 1.13848 <sup>f</sup>	35.5333 ± 1.36137 <sup>g</sup>	61.7667 ± 1.06926 <sup>b</sup>	84.8567 ± 0.76461 <sup>a</sup>		
Lipoxygenase Inhibition Assay	4.7033 ± 0.81867 <sup>g</sup>	22.3667 ± 1.184624 <sup>f</sup>	25.3333 ± 0.351188 <sup>f</sup>	42.6 ± 2.193171 <sup>e</sup>	78 ± 0 <sup>b</sup>	84.7 ± 0.51961 <sup>a</sup>	42.713 ± 1.92523 <sup>c</sup>	63.8667 ± 0.7094 <sup>d</sup>	70.8 ± 0.34641 <sup>c</sup>	45 ± 0 <sup>e</sup>	83.6667 ± 5.50757 <sup>a</sup>	84.6667 ± 0.57735 <sup>a</sup>		

\*Values are mean scores ± Standard deviation of three (3) replicates


\*Data in the same row bearing different superscript differ significantly (p < 0.05).

Key


UNDER PEER REVIEW




**FIG 11: Means Plot Of Effect On Protein Denaturation Of Different Concentrations Of CPL Samples**



**FIG 12: MEANS PLOT OF HEAT-INDUCED HEMOLYSIS OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF CPL SAMPLES**



**FIG 13: MEANSPLOT OF PROTEINASE INHIBITORY ACTIVITY OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF CPL SAMPLES**



**FIG 14: MEANSPLOT OF LIPOXYGENASE INHIBITION ASSAY OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF CPL SAMPLES**

**Conclusion:** The therapeutic use of the leaves of *C. platyterum* against malaria and swelling was confirmed by the activities of the extracts against inflammation and *P. falciparum*. Isolation of the bioactive compounds responsible for these activities is recommended.

**COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:**

**Comment [Y60]:** Authors conclusion does not adequately capture the antimicrobial efficacy of the plant part used.

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

## References

1. Fitzgerald M, Heinrich M, Booker A. Medicinal Plant Analysis: A Historical and Regional Discussion of Emergent Complex Techniques. *Front. Pharmacol.*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.01480>
2. Chukwuma MO, Odoh UE, Ezugwu CO. Standardization of *Combretum platypterum* (Welw) Hutch & Dalziel (Combretaceae) Leaf. *Trends in Natural Products Research*. 2020. 1(1): 60-69.
3. Idu M, Uwaya D, and Uvo OO. In vivo Antioxidant Evaluation of *Combretum platypterum* (welw.) Hutch. and *Combretum racemosum* P.Beauv. (Combretaceae) in Rodents. *Applied Medical Research*. 2016. 2(3): 50-55. Doi: 10.5455/amr.20160818035633.
4. Ariwaodo JO, Chukwuma EC and Adeniji KA. Some Medicinal Plant Species of Asamagbe Stream Bank Vegetation, Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan. *Ethnobotany Research & Applications*. 2012. 10:541-549
5. Matu, E.N., 2011. *Combretum platypterum* (Welw.) Hutch. & Dalziel. In: Schmelzer, G.H. & Gurib-Fakim, A. (Editors). PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical Africa / Ressources végétales de l'Afrique tropicale), Wageningen, Netherlands. Accessed 1 September 2020.

6. Ayoola GA, Coker HAB, Adesegun SA, Adepoju-Bello AA, Obaweya K, Ezennia EC, Atangbayila TO. Phytochemical screening and antioxidant activities of some selected medicinal plants used for malaria therapy in Southwestern Nigeria. *Trop. J. Pharm. Res.*, 2008. 7: 1019–1024.
7. Yadavalli R, Peasari JR, Mamindla P, Praveenkumar Mounika S, Ganugapati J. Phytochemical screening and in silico studies of flavonoids from *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*, *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, 10: 89-99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imu.2017.12.009>(2018).
8. Khlif I, Jellali K, Michel T, Halabalaki M, Skaltsounis AL and Allouche N. Characteristics, Phytochemical Analysis and Biological Activities of Extracts from Tunisian Chetoui *Olea europaea* Variety. *Journal of Chemistry*. 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/418731>.
9. Edeoga HO, Okwu DE and Mbaebie BO. Phytochemical constituents of some Nigerian Medicinal plants. *Journal of Biotechnology*. 2005.4(7):685-688.
10. Fayaz M, Bhat MH, Kumar A and Jain AK. Comparative Studies on Different Solvents Used for the Extraction of Phytochemicals from the Plant Parts of *Arnebia benthamii*. (Wall Ex. G. Don) Johnston. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, (2017). 9(1):220-224 .
11. Mera I, Falconí D, Morera V. Secondary metabolites in plants: main classes, phytochemical analysis and pharmacological activities. *Bionatura*. 4(4). DOI. 10.21931/RB/2019.04.04.11(2019).
12. Gul R, Jan SU, Faridullah S, Sherani S, Jahan N. 2017. Preliminary Phytochemical Screening, Quantitative Analysis of Alkaloids, and Antioxidant Activity of Crude Plant Extracts from *Ephedra intermedia* Indigenous to Balochistan. *The Scientific World Journal*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5873648>.
13. Banu KS and Cathrine L. General Techniques Involved in Phytochemical Analysis. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Chemical Science (IJARCS)*. 2(4): 25-32(2015)
14. Roghini R and Vijayalakshmi K. Phytochemical Screening, Quantitative Analysis of Flavonoids and Minerals in Ethanolic Extract of *Citrus paradisi*. *Int J Pharm Sci & Res*. 9(11): 4859-64. doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.9(11).4859-64 (2018).
15. Keo S, Meng C, Oeung S, Nov V, Lon S, Vichet T, Va T, Sourm M, Chea S. Preliminary phytochemical screening of selected Medicinal Plants of Cambodia. *Asian Journal of Pharmacognosy*. 1:16-23(2017).
16. Usman H, Abdulrahman F & Usman A. Qualitative phytochemical screening and in vitro antimicrobial effects of methanol stem bark extract of *Ficus thonningii* (Moraceae). *African journal of traditional, complementary, and alternative medicines: AJTCAM*, 6(3), 289–295. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajtcam.v6i3.57178> (2009).


17. Raaman N. Phytochemical Techniques. New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi. pp. 19-22 (2006).
18. Ukoha PO, Cemaluk EAC, Nnamdi OL and Madus EP. Tannins and other phytochemical of the *Samanea saman* pods and their antimicrobial activities. African Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry. 5(8): 237-244(2011).
19. Senguttuvan J, Paulsamy S, Karthika K. 2014. Phytochemical analysis and evaluation of leaf and root parts of the medicinal herb, *Hypochoeris radicata* L. for in vitro antioxidant activities. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2014; 4(Suppl 1): S359-S367
20. Sankhalkar S, Vernekar V. 2016. Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis of Phenolic and Flavonoid Content in *Moringa oleifera* Lam and *Ocimum tenuiflorum* L. *Pharmacognosy Research*. 8(1): 16-21
21. Anarado CE, Anarado CJO, Umedum NL and Ogbodo QM. 2020. Comparative Phytochemical and Anti-microbial Studies of Leaf, Stem, Root of *Spathodea Campanulata*. *Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research* 6(1): 10-20. DOI: 10.9734/AJACR/2020/v6i130148
22. Makler MT, Ries JM, Williams JA, Bancroft JE, Piper RC, Gibbins BL, Hinrichs DJ (2013). Parasite lactate dehydrogenase as an assay for *Plasmodium falciparum* drug sensitivity. *Am. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 48:739-741
23. Srinivasan D, Nathan S, Suresh T, Perumalsamy LP. Antimicrobial activity of certain Indian medicinal plants used in folkloric medicine. *J. of Ethnopharm*, 74: 217–220(2001).
24. Mostafa AA, Al-Askar AA, Almaary KS, Dawoud TM, Sholkamy EN, Bakri MM. Antimicrobial activity of some plant extracts against bacterial strains causing food poisoning diseases. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 25: 361–366(2018).
25. Okoli CO, Akah PA, Onuoha NJ, Okoye TC, Nwoye AC & Nworu CS. *Acanthus montanus*: an experimental evaluation of the antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and immunological properties of a traditional remedy for furuncles. *BMC complementary and alternative medicine*, 2008. 8, 27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-8-27>
26. Banerjee S, Chanda A, Adhikari A, Das A & Biswas S. Evaluation of Phytochemical Screening and Anti Inflammatory Activity of Leaves and Stem of *Mikania scandens* (L.) Wild. *Annals of medical and health sciences research*, 2014. 4(4), 532–536. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2141-9248.139302>
27. Dabhade A, Patel P, Patil U. Proteinaceous Protease Inhibitor from *Lawsonia inermis*: Purification, Characterization and Antibacterial Activity. *Natural Product Communications*. 2013. 8(10): 1467-1470.

28. Anosike C.A., Obidoa O., Ezeanyika L.U. Membrane stabilization as a mechanism of the anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of garden egg (*Solanum aethiopicum*) *DARU J. Pharmaceut. Sci.* 2012;20(1):76.
29. Thavamoney N, Sivanadian L, Tee LH, Khoo HE, Prasad KN, Kong KW. Extraction and recovery of phytochemical components and antioxidative properties in fruit parts of *Dacryodes rostrata* influenced by different solvents. *J Food Sci Technol.* 2018;55(7):2523–2532. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-018-3170-6>
30. Ghasemzadeh A, Jaafar HZE, Rahmat A. Effects of solvent type on phenolics and flavonoids content and antioxidant activities in two varieties of young ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) extracts. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research.* 2011;5(7):1147-1154.
31. Makin H, Honour J, Shackleton C, Griffiths W. General methods for the extraction, purification and measurement of steroids by chromatography and mass spectrometry; 2010. DOI: 10.1023/b135931\_3
32. Bekono, B.D., Ntie-Kang, F., Onguéné, P.A. *et al.* The potential of anti-malarial compounds derived from African medicinal plants: a review of pharmacological evaluations from 2013 to 2019. *Malar J* **19**, 183 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03231-7>
33. Bankole, A.E., Adekunle, A.A., Sowemimo, A. A., Umebese, C. E., Abiodun, O., Gbotosho, G. O. 2016. Phytochemical screening and in vivo antimalarial activity of extracts from three medicinal plants used in malaria treatment in Nigeria. *Parasitol Res.* 115:299–305. DOI 10.1007/s00436-015-4747-x.
34. Pan, W., Xu, X., Shi, N., Tsang, S.W., Zhang, H, 2018. Antimalarial Activity of Plant Metabolites. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **19**, 1382; doi:10.3390/ijms19051382
35. Onguéné, P. A., Ntie-Kang, F., Lifongo, L.L., Ndom, J.C., Sippl, W., Mbaze, L.M. 2013. The potential of anti-malarial compounds derived from African medicinal plants. Part I: A pharmacological evaluation of alkaloids and terpenoids. *Malar J* **12**, 449. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-12-449>
36. Batista R, Júnior A.de JS, de Oliveira AB. Plant-Derived Antimalarial Agents: New Leads and Efficient Phytomedicines. Part II. Non-Alkaloidal Natural Products. *Molecules*, (2009). **14**, 3037-3072; doi:10.3390/molecules14083037.
37. Nafiu MO, Abdulsalam TA, Akanji MA. "Phytochemical Analysis and Antimalarial Activity Aqueous Extract of *Lecaniodiscus cupanioides* Root", *Journal of Tropical Medicine*, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/605393>
38. Ekene EN, Odigie OM. Antimalaria and Hematological Properties of Ethanol Leaf Extract of *Pennisetum purpureum* Plasmodium berghei Infected Mice. *International Journal of Pathogen Research*, (2019). **2**(1): 1-8.
39. Wande OM and Babatunde SB. In vitro screening of ten Combretaceae plants for antimalarial activities applying the inhibition of beta-hematin formation. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 2017. **11**(6): 2971-2981.

40. Ogbole, O.O., Akinleye, T.E., Segun, P.A. and Fasinu, P.S. (2019). Antiprotozoal investigation of three *Combretum* species (*Combretaceae*) growing in Nigeria. *Herba Polinica*. 65(4):37-44. DOI:10.2478/hepo-2019-0024
41. Barbieri R, Coppo E, Marchese A, Daglia M, Sobarzo-Sánchez E, Nabavi SF, Nabavi SM. Phytochemicals for human disease: An update on plant-derived compounds antibacterial activity, *Microbiological Research*, 2017;196: 44-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2016.12.003>
42. Naz S, Alam S, Ahmed W, Khan SM, Qayyum A, Sabir M, Naz A, Iqbal A, Bibi Y, Nisa S, Khalifa AS, Gharib AF, El Askary A. Therapeutic Potential of Selected Medicinal Plant Extracts against Multi-Drug Resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi, *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2021; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.10.008>
43. Adeonipekun PA, Adeniyi TA, Aminu SO, "Investigating the Phytochemicals and Antimicrobial Activities of Shoot and Root of *Pycreus smithianus* (Ridl.) C. B. Clarke (Family Cyperaceae)", *Journal of Botany*, vol. 2014, Article ID 761613, 5 pages, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/761613>
44. Ogbole OO, Ayeni FA, Ajaiyeoba EO. In-vitro antibacterial screening of methanol extracts of three combretum species against seven strains of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Nigerian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 2016, 12(2)
45. Shah BN, Seth AK and Maheshwari KM. A Review on Medicinal Plants as a Source of Anti-inflammatory Agents. *Research Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 2011. 5: 101-115. DOI: [10.3923/rjimp.2011.101.115](https://doi.org/10.3923/rjimp.2011.101.115)
46. Al-Snafi A. Phenolics and flavonoids contents of medicinal plants, as natural ingredients for many therapeutic purposes- A review. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy (IOSRPHR)*. (2020). 10. 42-81.
47. Panche, A. N., Diwan, A. D., and Chandra, S. R. 2016. Flavonoids: an overview. *J Nutr Sci*. 5: e47. doi: [10.1017/jns.2016.41](https://doi.org/10.1017/jns.2016.41)
48. Hayat M, Abbas M, Munir F, Hayat MQ, Keyani R. and Amir R. Potential of plant flavonoids in pharmaceuticals and nutraceuticals. *J Biomol Biochem*. **2017**. 1(1): 12-17.
49. Tungmunnithum D, Thongboonyou A, Yangsabai A. Flavonoids and Other Phenolic Compounds from Medicinal Plants for Pharmaceutical and Medical Aspects: An Overview. *Medicines (Basel)*. 2018. 5(3): 93.
50. Omodamiro O & Obinna A. Scholars Academic Journal of Biosciences (SAJB) Evaluation of Diuretic, Anti-Inflammatory and Antioxidant Potential of Ethanol Extract of *Combretum Platypterum* (Welw) Leaves. *Scholars Academic Journal of Biosciences*. (2017). 5. 512-518.
51. Ogbu CP, Okagu IU and Nwodo OF. Anti-Inflammatory activities of Crude Ethanol extracts of *Combretum Zenkeri* Engl. & Diels leaves. *Comparative Clinical Pathology*. (2019). DOI:10.1007/s00580-019-03072-0.
52. Abdullahi MH, Anuka JA, Yaro AH, and Musa A. Effect of Aqueous Leaf Extract Of *Combretum micranthum* G. Don (*Combretaceae*) On Gastro Intestinal Smooth Muscle. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences(Bajopas)*, 2014. 7(2): 21 – 25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/bajopas.v7i2.5>

53. Olajide OA, Makinde JM & Okpako DT. Evaluation of the anti-inflammatory property of the extract of *Combretum micranthum* G. Don (Combretaceae). *Inflammopharmacology*, (2003). 11, 293–298. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156856003322315631>
54. Ojewole JAO. 2008. Analgesic and Antiinflammatory Effects of Mollic Acid Glucoside, a 1 $\alpha$ -Hydroxycycloartenoid Saponin Extractive from *Combretum molle* R. Br. ex G. Don (Combretaceae) Leaf. *Phytother. Res.* 22: 30–35. DOI: 10.1002/ptr.2253
55. Marquardt P, Seide R, Vissiennon C, Schubert A, Birkemeyer C, Ahyi V, & Fester K. Phytochemical Characterization and In Vitro Anti-Inflammatory, Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activity of *Combretum collinum* Fresen Leaves Extracts from Benin. *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*, (2020). 25(2), 288. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25020288>
56. Mbiantcha M, Almas J, Dawe A, Faheem A, & Sidra Z. Analgesic, anti-inflammatory and anticancer activities of Combretin A and Combretin B isolated from *Combretum fragrans* F. HOFFM (Combretaceae) leaves. *Inflammopharmacology*, (2018). 26(6), 1429–1440. DOI: [10.1007/s10787-017-0421-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10787-017-0421-5)
57. Sãˆne M, Barboza FS, Sarr A, Fall AD, Ndione Y, & Guata YSY. Healing and topical anti-inflammatory activities of the total aqueous bark extract of *Combretum glutinosum* Perr. (Combretaceae). *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, (2020). 14(5), 215-224. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JMPR2019.6879>
58. Sene M, Ndiaye D, Gassama A, Barboza F, Mbaye M, Yoro S. Analgesic and Anti-inflammatory Activities of Triterpenoid Molecules Isolated from the Leaves of *Combretum glutinosum* Perr. Ex DC (Combretaceae). *Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. (2019). 1-8. DOI: [10.9734/jamps/2018/v19i430096](https://doi.org/10.9734/jamps/2018/v19i430096)
59. Sini JM, Yaro AH, Ayanwuyi LO, Aiyelero OM, Mallum SM and Gamaniel KS. Antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities of the aqueous extract of the root bark of *Combretum sericeum* in rodents. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2010. 9(51), pp. 8872-8876. DOI: 10.5897/AJB10.233

UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW

