

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77150
Title of the Manuscript:	MECHANISM OF COMMON SYSTEMIC IMMUNODEFICIENCY DISORDERS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is quite interesting and technically sound. Please correct the following observations where necessary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract should begin with this statement "Systemic immunodeficiency disorders are heterogenous groups....." 2. The introduction should end by stating the objectives of the study. 3. What are the problems and rationale of the study? 4. All abbreviations should be introduced initially before using them subsequently. 5. Avoid unnecessary capitalization within the script. 6. There should be numbering of headings and sub-headings. 7. Read through and correct typographical and grammatical errors. 8. Why did you dwell on the 3 disorders? How did you come to conclusion on discussing just the three? 9. Tab 1 should have a KEY that shows meaning of +, ++ and +++. 10. Citations and references should follow journal format. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Victor B. Oti
Department, University & Country	Nasarawa State University, Nigeria