

Estimation of variations in the Erythrocyte Sedimentation rate in Covid Recovered Patients.

Running title: Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate in Covid Recovered Patients. Control Patients

Comment [s1]: What does it mean? Is it possible Recovered patients vs control patients? Or something else? Please revise to make it clearer.

Abstract:

Background:

A cluster of patients with pneumonia of unclear cause developed in Wuhan, China in December 2019, and infection with a novel coronavirus virus called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus was later verified. Although fever and cough were the most common early signs and symptoms of coronavirus illness, extrapulmonary symptoms have also been reported. Many studies demonstrate that Covid 19 swiftly progress to acute respiratory distress syndrome and even multiple organ dysfunction .

Aim:

The study aims to evaluate the erythrocyte sedimentation rate count in Covid recovered individuals and compare it with healthy controls.

Materials & Methods:

A cross-sectional pilot study in 5 healthy covid uninfected and 5 Covid recovered individuals was conducted, the blood samples were collected and the erythrocyte sedimentation rate was calculated for each set of controls and samples. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software. An independent t-test was done to compare the results.

Results:

The mean value ...of ???... of the control participants was found to be 8.08 ± 4.38 and the mean value of ...of ???... Covid recovered patients was 20.60 ± 1.81 . The difference in Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) values between control individuals and Covid recovered patients was statistically significant with the p value of less than 0.005.

Comment [s2]: The mean value of what? Please write the metric, or the measurement.

Comment [s3]: It would be better if the standard (or reference) value is also stated (or implied), so that more insight is obtained in the sense that whether the conditions compared are good enough or not; or which one is in a good condition and which one is in an unfavourable condition according to the measurement.

Conclusion :

Within the limitations of the study, we conclude that the majority of the study population are between 18-21 years of age and the most of the Covid recovered patients have higher ESR values compared to the healthy uninfected individuals .

Comment [s4]: It seems irrelevant to put age here, since it was not mentioned at all about age in the aim of the study. Please revise.

Keywords: COVID recovered, Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate, innovative technique, Uninfected .

Comment [s5]: Do not repeat terms that are already in the title, 5 keywords.

INTRODUCTION:

The pandemic global outbreak Covid19 is a new human infecting beta coronavirus is likely to be originated from the chrysanthemum bats.(1) The novel virus SARS- CoV- 2 is found to cause a type of pneumonia associated problem termed Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome.(2) The virus appears to be spherical and have proteins called spikes protruding from their surface.(3) The pandemic outbreak COVID-19 spreads mainly by droplets produced as a result of coughing or sneezing of a COVID-19 infected person. (4)The spread of novel coronavirus occurs through direct close contact with COVID-19 patients within one metre of the infected person and the rate of spread is enhanced especially if they do not cover their face when coughing or sneezing.(5) The novel virus also spreads by the droplets surviving on surfaces and clothes for many days.(6) Therefore, touching any such infected surface or cloth and then touching one's mouth, nose or eyes can transmit the disease(7).The Hydroxychloroquine,(8) an old drug used for the treatment of malaria, has demonstrated marked efficacy and it is acceptable globally in treating COVID-19 associated pneumonia .

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [s6]: This is not a proper sentence, please revise it so that it has a clearer meaning. The citation style is incorrect, it should be put before the full stop; please check and revise it for the remaining of the manuscript.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto, Highlight

Comment [s7]: Starting from this should form a new paragraph, since it describes a different main idea than the previous sentences. Please be sure that 1 paragraph convey one main idea, check and revise for the rest.

Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) is a test that can indirectly measure the degree of inflammation that is present in the body.(9) The test actually measures the rate of fall of erythrocytes in a sample of blood. COVID-19 has been demonstrated in other researches to swiftly progress to acute respiratory distress syndrome and even multiple organ dysfunction , the clue to which can be obtained by the levels of ESR in blood . Because most research has focused on changes in the respiratory system, our knowledge of COVID-19 is still limited and the characteristics of other organ involvement and prognosis in COVID-19 patients are unknown.

Comment [s8]: If you want to use abbreviation, introduce it first along with its full terms.

Comment [s9]: Is "Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate" a test? Or a measurement obtained from certain test? Please clarify.

Comment [s10]: The tem "most" must be supported by evidence here; e.g. if some references could be supplied., it would be better.

~~Erythrocyte sedimentation rate~~ (ESR) increased during COVID recovery. The high level of ESR is sustained for an extended time even after the patient recovers from COVID-19 which results in risk of tumor, tuberculosis, rheumatic diseases, anemia, etc. Although the increased ESR can not be explained without any existing evidence, it may link with the abnormal pathologic changes in some of COVID-recovered patients and show the negative prognosis which provides the clue to reduce the mechanism of illness progressing in COVID recovered patients. Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translate into high quality publications (10),(11–24) ,(25–29) The study aims to evaluate the erythrocyte sedimentation rate count in covid recovered individuals and compare it with healthy controls.

MATERIALS AND METHOD:

The present cross sectional study was conducted in Saveetha Dental College & Hospitals in ~~AUGUST~~ August 2021 and involved normal uninfected healthy individuals and patients affected with covid and recovered three months ago. The study and sample collection were approved by the Institutional ethical committee with an approval number of IHEC/SDC/UG/-1900/21/211.

Demographic data

The study included a total of n=10 participants who were divided into two groups. The mean age of the participants was 18-21 years. Among the participants 6 were males and 4 were females. Group I consists of control individuals, Group II is Covid recovered patients who recovered uneventfully three months before the initiation of the study.

Patients Selection and Recruitment:

The samples were recruited from the COVID recovered patients. Clinical history was taken from COVID recovered patients. It was also ensured that patients with systemic comorbidities or terminally ill patients were not included for the study. All the patients included in the study belonged to the same ethnic group of Tamil Nadu. Informed consent was obtained from the patients for inclusion into the study and it was also ensured that the patients anonymity was maintained. All the patients completed a questionnaire covering medical, residential, and occupational history.

Variables

Dependent variables were the age and Erythrocyte sedimentation rate whereas the independent variable was gender. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate was expressed as mm/hr.

Statistical analysis

Comment [s11]: Once the abbreviation is introduced, be consistent to use it throughout the text.

Comment [s12]: This is not grammatically correct, please r revise it.

Comment [s13]: Need references to support this statement.

Comment [s14]: No this kind of expression in a formal aademic writing.

Comment [s15]: Grammar. Please check and revise for the remaining of the manuscript.

Comment [s16]: ??? Please check the standard for citation and referencing style/

Comment [s17]: What is the reference timeline? Revise it.

Comment [s18]: "mean" but in an interval? This is statistically incorrect. Is it realaly mean, or the range?

Comment [s19]: What is the size of each group? Should be informed, to assess whether the comparison is conducted on a balanced sample or not.

Comment [s20]: We cannot do anything about age, so it cannot be a dependent variable in this case. It is more reasonable that age and gender might explain the ESR. Please check again, and revise.

The sampling method followed in this comparative study is random sampling. The mean values of each parameter were tabulated along with the significant values and plotted in the form of bar graphs using SPSS. Independent t-test analysis was used to compare the results that were obtained.

Comment [s21]: Is it really random sampling? Or should it be purposive sampling? As from the scheme, it seems that purposive sampling is more appropriate; otherwise, please describe it clearly how the subjects are recruited in the study.

Comment [s22]: Again, what is the size of each group? A t-test needs an assumption of normality distribution of the data, and in this case, the sample size is too small to be considered whether the normality assumption is fulfilled. Needs to increase the sample size, otherwise, just do a descriptive comparison.

RESULT :

Correlation of erythrocyte sedimentation rate and the groups

The mean value of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate of control participants was found to be 8.08 ± 4.38 and the mean value for the COVID recovered patients was found to be 20.60 ± 1.81 . The Erythrocytes Sedimentation Rate (ESR) count difference between control and COVID recovered patients was observed with a p value of less than 0.005 which is considered to be statistically significant.

Comment [s23]: Is this confidence interval of the mean? At what level? What is the meaning of this numbers?

Table 1: Table showing Mean \pm SD. significance at the levels of $p < 0.005$

Group	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Sig.
Control	8.8	4.38	1.95	<0.005
Covid Recovered	19.8	1.81	0.81	

Comment [s24]: The validity of this test is questionable, please see the above comment about the sample size. Hence, the following result and discussion should be adjusted as well.




Figure 1: Bar graph depicts the association between the group of patients and Mean ESR Value. The X axis represents the group of patients included in the study and Y axis represents the mean ESR value. Blue colour denotes the control group and Green colour denotes the COVID recovered patients. The COVID recovered group of patients have shown an increase in the ESR value when compared to the control group, with a p value <0.005, which is considered to be statistically significant. The above Error bar graph shows 95%CI value.

Comment [s25]: "increase" implies there is a time period that we observe the increment, while in this study it does not seem like that since this is a cross-sectional study. Please change the term into a more suitable one.

DISCUSSION

In our study, we were able to find that there is a significant rise in the level of the ESR value in the COVID recovered patients even after three months of uneventful recovery of these patients when compared to the control group. The individuals who were considered as

Comment [s26]: Similar comment as "increase".

samples for the recovered group were all home quarantined and did not have any serious ailments during the entire duration of the infection. In spite of a full recovery, we were able to observe that the ESR values of these patients were high and abnormal when compared to the healthy control group who were age and sex matched to give a more comparative result.

Klinck et al discovered that the ESR began to rapidly increase about 2 weeks after COVID-19 infection ((30) indicating that even though the signs of fever and dry cough faded and the alteration in chest CT symptoms improved, the ESR remained elevated for a long period.(31)

Comment [s27]: Please consult how to write et al properly; do the same for the others.

Formatted: Highlight

Brouillard et al mentioned in his study that increased level of ESR cannot be explained by all existing data, it may be associated with abnormal pathologic change in some COVID-19 patients with poor outcomes, which gives a good idea to decode the mechanism of COVID-19 illness severity and prognosis.(32) A previous study by Sheng et al found that there is an aberrant lymphopenia presented after COVID-19 and returned to normal level after recovery from viral infection, which is consistent with typical characteristics of viral infection.(33)

Formatted: Highlight

Hess CT et al. found that the COVID-19 such as pneumonia could be associated with bacterial infection as the illness progressed, as the research indicates that inflammatory markers such as leukocyte and neutrophil counts, IL-6, and CRP levels elevated.

Comment [s28]: What is the role of this finding in relation to ESR? It is not clear, please revise.

The present study is in concordance with study by Klinck et al who quoted that ESR dramatically increase around two weeks after COVID-19 infection, and the high level of ESR persisted for a long period despite the absence of fever and dry cough.((30)In support with these findings, the ESR rate in the present study is found to be increased in the Covid recovered population in spite of recovering from the infection for three months

So the relationship between the control individuals and COVID recovered patients is specially demonstrated in special study.The present study possesses limitations such as parameter.Further studies with large sample,size, focus on detail concerned with many parameters like Age ,Gender should be done to significantly demonstrate the ESR value is more in COVID recovered patients than controlled individual.(34)

Comment [s29]: Proper punctuations are needed.

CONCLUSION:

Within the limitations of the study we conclude that the Erythrocytes sedimentation rate of the COVID Recovered patients was found to be more when compared to the normal control group providing insight into the illness progression and long-term impact of COVID-19 on patients.. These individuals did not have any serious ailments during the entire duration of the infection and in spite of a full recovery, we were able to observe that the ESR values of

these patients were high and abnormal when compared to the healthy control group who were age and sex matched to give a more comparative result.

REFERENCE:

1. Junot H, Méloni C, Bleibtreu A, Robert A. Impact de la pandémie COVID-19 sur les consommations hospitalières en antibiotiques, étude monocentrique d'un ESR métropolitain [Internet]. Vol. 50, Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses. 2020. p. S94. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medmal.2020.06.190>
2. Arestov IM, Anisochkin AA, Yudakov OI, Sukhareva EA. How informative are the leukocyte formula and ESR for COVID-19 patients' monitoring? [Internet]. Vol. 73, ТЕНДЕНЦИИ РАЗВИТИЯ НАУКИ И ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ. 2021. p. 81–5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18411/lj-05-2021-65>
3. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. *J Med Virol*. 2020 Apr;92(4):441–7.
4. Rello J, Tejada S, Userovici C, Arvaniti K, Pugin J, Waterer G. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A critical care perspective beyond China [Internet]. Vol. 39, Anaesthesia Critical Care & Pain Medicine. 2020. p. 167–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2020.03.001>
5. Song Y, Liu P, Shi XL, Chu YL, Zhang J, Xia J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 induced diarrhoea as onset symptom in patient with COVID-19 [Internet]. Vol. 69, Gut. 2020. p. 1143–4. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-320891>
6. Ng O-T, Marimuthu K, Chia P-Y, Koh V, Chiew CJ, De Wang L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection among Travelers Returning from Wuhan, China [Internet]. Vol. 382, New England Journal of Medicine. 2020. p. 1476–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2003100>
7. Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome [Internet]. Vol. 8, The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2020. p. 420–2. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s2213-2600\(20\)30076-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s2213-2600(20)30076-x)
8. Lu C-W, Liu X-F, Jia Z-F. 2019-nCoV transmission through the ocular surface must not be ignored [Internet]. Vol. 395, The Lancet. 2020. p. e39. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(20\)30313-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30313-5)
9. Stojan G, Fang H, Magder L, Petri M. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate is a predictor of renal and overall SLE disease activity [Internet]. Vol. 22, Lupus. 2013. p. 827–34. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0961203313492578>
10. Anita R, Paramasivam A, Priyadharsini JV, Chitra S. The m6A readers YTHDF1 and

YTHDF3 aberrations associated with metastasis and predict poor prognosis in breast cancer patients. *Am J Cancer Res.* 2020 Aug 1;10(8):2546–54.

11. Jayaseelan VP, Paramasivam A. Emerging role of NET inhibitors in cardiovascular diseases. *Hypertens Res.* 2020 Dec;43(12):1459–61.
12. Sivakumar S, Smiline Girija AS, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J. Evaluation of the inhibitory effect of caffeic acid and gallic acid on tetR and tetM efflux pumps mediating tetracycline resistance in *Streptococcus* sp., using computational approach. *Journal of King Saud University - Science.* 2020 Jan 1;32(1):904–9.
13. Smiline Girija AS. Delineating the Immuno-Dominant Antigenic Vaccine Peptides Against gacS-Sensor Kinase in *Acinetobacter baumannii*: An in silico Investigational Approach. *Front Microbiol.* 2020 Sep 8;11:2078.
14. Iswarya Jaisankar A, Smiline Girija AS, Gunasekaran S, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J. Molecular characterisation of csgA gene among ESBL strains of *A. baumannii* and targeting with essential oil compounds from *Azadirachta indica*. *Journal of King Saud University - Science.* 2020 Dec 1;32(8):3380–7.
15. Girija ASS. Fox3+ CD25+ CD4+ T-regulatory cells may transform the nCoV's final destiny to CNS! *J Med Virol* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 3; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26482>
16. Jayaseelan VP, Ramesh A, Arumugam P. Breast cancer and DDT: putative interactions, associated gene alterations, and molecular pathways. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2021 Jun;28(21):27162–73.
17. Arumugam P, George R, Jayaseelan VP. Aberrations of m6A regulators are associated with tumorigenesis and metastasis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2021 Feb;122:105030.
18. Kumar SP, Girija ASS, Priyadharsini JV. Targeting NM23-H1-mediated inhibition of tumour metastasis in viral hepatitis with bioactive compounds from *Ganoderma lucidum*: A computational study. *pharmaceutical-sciences* [Internet]. 2020;82(2). Available from: <https://www.ijpsonline.com/articles/targeting-nm23h1mediated-inhibition-of-tumour-metastasis-in-viral-hepatitis-with-bioactive-compounds-from-ganoderma-lucidum-a-comp-3883.html>
19. Girija SA, Priyadharsini JV, Paramasivam A. Prevalence of carbapenem-hydrolyzing OXA-type β -lactamases among *Acinetobacter baumannii* in patients with severe urinary tract infection. *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung.* 2019 Dec 9;67(1):49–55.
20. Priyadharsini JV, Paramasivam A. RNA editors: key regulators of viral response in cancer patients. *Epigenomics.* 2021 Feb;13(3):165–7.
21. Mathivadani V, Smiline AS, Priyadharsini JV. Targeting Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA-1) with *Murraya koenigii* bio-compounds: An in-silico approach. *Acta Virol.* 2020;64(1):93–9.
22. Girija As S, Priyadharsini J V, A P. Prevalence of Acb and non-Acb complex in elderly population with urinary tract infection (UTI). *Acta Clin Belg.* 2021 Apr;76(2):106–12.

23. Anchana SR, Girija SAS, Gunasekaran S, Priyadharsini VJ. Detection of *csgA* gene in carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains and targeting with *Ocimum sanctum* biocompounds. *Iran J Basic Med Sci.* 2021 May;24(5):690–8.
24. Girija ASS, Shoba G, Priyadharsini JV. Accessing the T-Cell and B-Cell Immuno-Dominant Peptides from *A.baumannii* Biofilm Associated Protein (bap) as Vaccine Candidates: A Computational Approach. *Int J Pept Res Ther.* 2021 Mar 1;27(1):37–45.
25. Arvind P TR, Jain RK. Skeletally anchored forsus fatigue resistant device for correction of Class II malocclusions-A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Orthod Craniofac Res.* 2021 Feb;24(1):52–61.
26. Venugopal A, Vaid N, Bowman SJ. Outstanding, yet redundant? After all, you may be another *Cholutedca* Bridge! *Semin Orthod.* 2021 Mar 1;27(1):53–6.
27. Ramadurai N, Gurunathan D, Samuel AV, Subramanian E, Rodrigues SJL. Effectiveness of 2% Articaine as an anesthetic agent in children: randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2019 Sep;23(9):3543–50.
28. Varghese SS, Ramesh A, Veeraiyan DN. Blended Module-Based Teaching in Biostatistics and Research Methodology: A Retrospective Study with Postgraduate Dental Students. *J Dent Educ.* 2019 Apr;83(4):445–50.
29. Mathew MG, Samuel SR, Soni AJ, Roopa KB. Evaluation of adhesion of *Streptococcus mutans*, plaque accumulation on zirconia and stainless steel crowns, and surrounding gingival inflammation in primary molars: randomized controlled trial [Internet]. Vol. 24, *Clinical Oral Investigations.* 2020. p. 3275–80. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00784-020-03204-9>
30. Klinck GH. The Effect of the Injection of Trypan Blue on the Sedimentation Rate of Erythrocytes in Inflammation [Internet]. Vol. 181, *The American Journal of the Medical Sciences.* 1931. p. 743. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000441-193105000-00064>
31. Hardison CS. The sedimentation rate. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; blood sedimentation rate [Internet]. Vol. 204, *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association.* 1968. p. 257–257. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.204.3.257>
32. Brouillard M, Reade R, Boulanger E, Cardon G, Dracon M, Dequiedt P, et al. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, an underestimated tool in chronic renal failure [Internet]. Vol. 11, *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation.* 1996. p. 2244–7. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.ndt.a027143>
33. Pu S-L, Zhang X-Y, Liu D-S, Ye B-N, Li J-Q. Unexplained elevation of erythrocyte sedimentation rate in a patient recovering from COVID-19: A case report [Internet]. Vol. 9, *World Journal of Clinical Cases.* 2021. p. 1394–401. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v9.i6.1394>
34. Hess CT. Monitoring Laboratory Values [Internet]. Vol. 22, *Advances in Skin & Wound Care.* 2009. p. 96. Available from:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.asw.0000345287.28403.76>

UNDER PEER REVIEW