

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_77128 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | RISK FACTORS OF HEART FAILURE AMONG THE PATIENTS OF HYPERTENSION ATTENDING A HOSPITAL IN ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN: THE RISKS OF HYPERTENSIVE HEART FAILURE A CASE-CONTROL STUDY |
| Type of the Article | Original article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>This article aims to explain link between hypertension and heart failure among part of Pakistan population. I am regret to inform that my opinion is that in such version this article is not acceptable for publication. I will send in attachment my comments but basically in abstract section some parts are different form the ones in text and abstract is poorly written. Keywords are synonymes high blood pressure and hypertension. In introduction I would like to read recent data from WHO. Why references are not linked through text, did you add them manually, and is this allowed. Some words are not best choice in material and methods section. In introduction part absolutely mandatory is to include HF-induced hypertension mechanism with new literature data. Somewhere grammar is wrong. In results authors state that both grupes had majority males and In abstract they stape different. I think that observation Subjects with hypertensive heart failure (case group) were more likely to be unmarried as compared to the control group participants</p> <p>Is not very relevant , but if they insist they should add proper discussion.</p> <p>Tables are all wrongly designed, non informative names are not very happily chosen and contain spelling mistakes.</p> <p>Figure 1 is incomplehensive and authors should add legend, what is eGFR , it is not acceptable that on x axis the write medication</p> <p>In discussing there are many spelling and typing errors, they should not send such manuscript for evaluation. Data in discussion are conflicting with table 3, regarding smoking habits.</p> <p>Abreviation list is mandatory part of every article they should add it.</p> <p>As well they did not explain well strength and weakness of their study.</p> <p>Conclusion is poorly written.</p> <p>Only 16 references is to small number.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Bozidarka L Zaric |
| Department, University & Country | University of Belgrade, Serbia |