

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77082
Title of the Manuscript:	A Protocol on Comparative Evaluation of Efficacy of Prakriti Based Pathya-Apathya with routine diet in Healthy Children to assess its Future perception towards diseases to prevent and treat morbidities-A Randomized Clinical Trial
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Suitable term can mentioned in brackets <i>Prakriti</i> (unique constitution)</p> <p>Write the reference as per the guidelines.</p> <p>Have to mention the sample size in material methods</p> <p>uniformly you write Ayurveda term fully as ahara, vihara, ayurveda in entire article</p> <p>Is it necessary to do these investigations for your study if yes..explain it.</p> <p>Why you selected these particular age group? If you have an particular idea on it.. can do GENDER based comparative study.</p> <p>What is the criteria you using for identifying the prakruthi? When you are assessing it? It have to be mentioned.</p> <p>You taken only dwandaja prakruthi that indicates as you excluded ekadosaja and sannipataja for study. That can be mentioned here</p> <p>Why the morbidity scale in objective criteria? You excluded morbid subjects for study. If you asses morbidity during the study.. then that subject can be excluded for study.</p> <p>Why only for 15% of the samples? Prakruthi is varying for person to person.. Investigation doing only for 15% is not a good criteria to sustain..</p> <p>Mitabasi etc can change</p> <p>Use uniform ayurveda sanskrit terms.. Instead of awla, manuka, angur etc.. if there is no Sanskrit term for few diet items.. that can write after completed all ayurvedic diet.</p> <p>Present days what are using in diet only can include in your study..</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Grammatical mistakes, italics, suitable English terms should be mentioned.2. Uniformity of terms should be followed in entire article.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Article is good but have to concentrate more on discussion part2. Suggestions can follow what it mentioned in the article for better appearance	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pundareekaksha Rao
Department, University & Country	Ayurveda college Coimbatore, The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, India