

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77075
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparative Evaluation between the efficacy of Scaling &amp; Root Planing (SRP) with Local Delivery of Chlorhexidine Gluconate and SRP alone in Periodontal Pocket Reduction Therapy.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Written formal Ethical Approvement from Local Authorized Hospital Research Ethical Committee must be obtained and write down in the manuscript completely before starting the research Please consistent to apply 1 or 2 digits after comma for each measurement ,include table, and calculation as well as analysis. Determine the level of significance for defining difference, and write down on the text and each table	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Write in Italic for the name of bacteria/microbes Materials and Methods : avoid numbering. Please rearrange into : Patients; Antiseptic solution; Dental equipments; Parameter studied/Measurement of Variables; Methods (add illustration/figure/scheme); Statistical analysis. Write down the number of each studied sites in every table.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please write research parameters/variables consistently in sequent Reference Sillness & Loe 1964 and Loe & Sillness 1863 are not written yet in reference list. Reference number 22 didn't mention yet throughout the text. Please consider clinical conclusion other than statistical conclusion only !	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, there are ethical issues in this manuscript Information for Consent and Informed Consent didn't performed yet before treating the patients. The Informed Consent especially is needed by the researcher to recruit someone to be a research subject before applying manipulation(s) written in Information for Consent .	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Eddy Bagus Wasito</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Airlangga University - B Campus, Indonesia</i></b>