

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77075
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparative Evaluation between the efficacy of Scaling &amp; Root Planing (SRP) with Local Delivery of Chlorhexidine Gluconate and SRP alone in Periodontal Pocket Reduction Therapy.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There are some minor grammatical in the manuscript (MS). Therefore, the language of paper has to be checked carefully again.</li> <li>2. Italicize the scientific names of microbes in the MS</li> <li>3. The location where study was conducted needs to be added in methodology section and was ethical permission taken from the patients prior to study, needs to be stated.</li> <li>4. There is no citation of procedure used in the methodology section; Parameters for selection of patients have not been stated;</li> <li>5. Diagrammatically representation is required for clear representation how the different index was measured for readers</li> <li>6. Add the image of the setup used for controlled Chlorhexidine Gluconate release</li> <li>7. The references used in this study are quite old, kindly refer new paper and update the references.</li> <li>8. The biochemical assessment of the mouth microflora is required to evaluate which microbes are present in the mouth, the in-vitro assessment of Chlorhexidine Gluconate efficacy against the isolated microbes from mouth should be done. In order to get the comparative idea about its efficacy.</li> <li>9. This seems to be preliminary study, the comparative analysis SRP with local delivery of Chlorhexidine Gluconate and SRP alone in Periodontal Pocket reduction therapy has not been well-comprehended in representable manner in the manuscript.</li> </ol> <p><b>After the major revision the manuscript can be accepted</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Daljeet Singh Dhanjal</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Lovely Professional University, India</i></b>