

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77072
Title of the Manuscript:	Green synthesis and characterization of Silver Nanoparticles synthesized using Piper longum and its antioxidant activity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper «Green synthesis and characterization of Silver Nanoparticles synthesized using Piper longum and its antioxidant activity» was analyzed the Piper longum (commonly known as the long pepper) effect as the antioxidant. Really, it is a seriously public health problem. Fortunately, the plant kingdom has been serving human health since very early times so, role of phytoterapy is rising day by day are urgently needed to be explored, as an interesting and perspective.</p> <p>For me, was introduced very interesting authors methodology for antioxidant effect investigation by AgNO₃-nanoparticles. There is futureness topic to phytopharmacy.</p> <p>The title and abstract are appropriate for the content of the text. Furthermore, the article is well constructed. The data cited and presented arguments justify the conclusions and inferences. Author correctly cites important discoveries in the field and sets in the context of, and acknowledges, work done by others, whether or not they have conflicting views. Abstract is distinct from the main body of the text, and not be the only source of background information critical to understanding the manuscript.</p> <p>At nowadays, phytoterapy has been recognized as an important target for the development of drugs with antioxidant effects.</p> <p>The results here presented merit and good commentars.</p> <p>Each of the Supplementary Materials items supplementary figures, tables files has a titles.</p> <p>All statistical tests have been descriptioned.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>There are significant theme for every motherland. Author had hard job about contingents (respondents) for articles datas.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Olena Grechana</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Zaporizhzhya State Medical University, Ukraine</i>