

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77045
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report: Guillain-Barre Syndrome (GBS) in adult
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1) The present case report is confusing and incomplete. Generalities regarding the Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) and data of the patient are mixed-up. For example, the ABSTRACT starts with the "Introduction", then are listed-up the so-called "Clinical findings", which probably belong to the patient of the CASE REPORT. In the "Conclusion"-part of the ABSTRACT, a short presentation of the patient is repeated. The transition from generalities to the patient's data is often not clear. In addition, important data, such as the age and sex of the patient, are written in the "Conclusion"-part and not under "Clinical findings" in the ABSTRACT. Still in the ABSTRACT, it is written "Drug therapy" under "Therapeutic intervention" – what kind of drug therapy has been done? Under "Diagnostic assessment" of the main manuscript are mentioned just blood samples next to the CT scan of the esophagus. Instead, less important information such as the patient's hight or family history, are reported in detail.</p> <p>Usually, a CASE REPORT has a clear structure, following a certain order. For example, I. ABSTRACT (subdivided itself in Introduction, Case Report and Conclusions), II. CASE REPORT (subdivided in 1. Introduction, 2. Patient's history, 3. Physical examination, 4. Diagnostic investigations, 5. Final diagnosis with differential diagnosis, 6. Treatment and 7. Outcome), III. DISCUSSION and IV. CONCLUSIONS.</p> <p>2) The idea of the present CASE REPORT is not clear. The manuscript talks about a patient suffering from a <i>rare</i> GBS. What does it mean "rare"? We know, that GBS is a rare disease, nevertheless, its clinical presentation is well-known. What is the central aspect of the present CASE REPORT? It doesn't have to be something new, however, the "take-home message" of the paper is missing.</p> <p>3) How the diagnosis of GBS has been done in the present patient? There is mentioned the CT scan of the esophagus, done due swallowing problems of the patient. But what is about neuroimaging or a lumbar puncture? The nerve conduction studies are mentioned only in the very last sentence of the manuscript – has a neurophysiological study been done in the patient?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs major editing of the English language. Next to numerous spelling mistakes, many sentences are very short, and one affirmation follows another. In addition, some sentences seem to be incomplete, just as a "list of words". For example: The INTRODUCTION of the manuscript starts with the following sentences: Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS) strikes suddenly. It is immune to itself. Neuropathy that has been medicated. GBS is no traumatic disorder..... →Are these affirmations? Keywords? Note: again, generalities regarding GBS are mixed-up with the patient's data.</p> <p>Another example: The second paragraph of the DISCUSSION starts with the following sentences: Tingling sensation in your finger, toes, legs and wrist, unsteady strolling or failure to walk or climb stairs is touched if you have weakness in your legs that transfers to your upper body. Throbbing shooting pains or cramps – especially at night – trouble with bladder control or bowel functions modes if you have weakness in your legs.... →Are these, in general, the clinical manifestations in GBS? It should be highlighted, that some patients might develop symptoms due to a dysfunction of the autonomic nervous system such as bladder control problems or high blood pressure.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	The present CASE REPORT is confusing. Generalities regarding GBS are mixed-up with the patient's data. How the diagnosis of GBS has been done in the patient? Some important information regarding the patient's clinical history are missing, on the other hand, less important information are mentioned. What the authors want to tell us? Maybe, that GBS might be very severe and present with involvement of the autonomic nervous system which can even require invasive mechanical ventilation? What is the "Take-Home Message" of the manuscript? Finally, English editing is highly recommended.	
----------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Susanne Buechner
Department, University & Country	General Hospital of Bolzano, Italy