

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77005
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of Rubella Virus among Pregnant Women and with a History of Abortion in the Red Sea Region of Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Field Code Changed

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: What is the type abortion? You are not oblige to add history of abortion in the title, it can be a finding of the study. Because if you recruit only woman with history of abortion, we should not find woman without abortion in the result.</p> <p>Introduction: German measles insteadofGrumman measles The name "rubella" came from Latin, and it means "little red." Rubeola is often used in English-speaking countries for rubella, but in the Spanish language, rubeola and rubella are used interchangeably. Although these are interesting background information, I would suggest you add the type of virus involved and its prevalence and transmission.</p> <p>Intrauterine infection can import the syndrome (CRS) by the virus Better write «Rubella virus Intrauterine infection can cause CRS» «lack of comprehensive data on rubella» It is possible that data on rubella are available as the country is conducting measles surveillance with WHO support. In measles surveillance, if a suspected case of measles is negative, it is tested for rubella.</p> <p>Method: Why 100 participants? Explain the sampling strategy before describing the blood samples collection and administration of the questionnaire</p> <p>Statistical Analysis Which Software did you use? Can you please explain you used the Chi2 test?</p> <p>Results There is a mistake in the first line 11 +61= 72</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	In table 4: I suggest you add IgG Positive and IgG Negative	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christophe N'guessan
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Public Health, Cote D'ivoire