

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77000
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of threshold inspiratory muscle training device on inspiratory muscle strength in upper abdominal surgery patient: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The study is interesting, but adjustments are needed. Some parts of the work need to be improved, e.g. the intervention process is not clearly described.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The goal is: to improve the strength and endurance of the inspiratory muscles. How was endurance measured? The discussion section should be better drafted and should discuss the results found.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- How exactly does the process of measuring abdominal muscle strength go?</li> <li>- For unbiased readers, it is unclear how the "Threshold inspiratory muscle training device" works. The intervention process is not described in detail. There is no defined exercise frequency, duration of exercise, or detailed execution of intervention. This is partly stated only in the abstract.</li> <li>- The conclusion is that the intervention led to an improvement in pressure after one week, but there is no written of what intervention was chosen.</li> <li>- The reference list does not include references to sources from the last 3 years, the most recent is from 2014. There are new studies.</li> </ul>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Petr Bahenský</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of South Bohemia České Budějovice, Czech Republic</b>