

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77000
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of threshold inspiratory muscle training device on inspiratory muscle strength in upper abdominal surgery patient: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>- ABSTRACT The Abstract brings information about the time of each training session and this information was not described in the item "PHYSIOTHERAPY INTERVENTION"</p> <p>- PATIENT INFORMATION The study does not report approval from the research ethics committee, nor does it report patient consent to use the results.</p> <p>- CLINICAL FINDINGS The study does not report whether the patient had respiratory muscle weakness</p> <p>- PHYSIOTHERAPY INTERVENTION PImax has already appeared before, you can only use the acronym in other appearances. The authors present the objective of increasing strength and endurance, however they only present results for increasing strength. The authors do not report the load used for training (% of PI max)</p> <p>- RESULT The study does not report whether the patient had respiratory muscle weakness</p> <p>DISCUSSION In the first paragraph the authors talk about postoperative pain, but this variable has not been studied. Throughout the discussion, the authors bring results from other studies, but they do not argue with the findings of this study.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, the study does not report approval from the research ethics committee, nor does it report patient consent to use the results.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Claudio Donisete da Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal University of São Carlos, Brazil