

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76989
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case Report On Acute Necrotizing Pancreatitis
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This is a case report. We never refer to the patient with they real name.</li> <li>2. The article is written in a way that addresses to common people and medical doctors. This paper refers to doctors that already know what necrotized pancreatitis is. Two paragraphs explaining this, is too much.</li> <li>3. The way of presentation of medical history and results of determinations is very different of the way we usually present a case in an article (see PubMed).</li> <li>4. "General parameter"? this is not a paragraph. Cannot stand like nthis in the text</li> <li>5. "Integumentary"?</li> <li>6. "Myoskeletal system: he was normal and BMI" !!?? The while paragraph needs rephrasing</li> <li>7. Table I. This cannot be a title heading.</li> <li>8. In the "pharmacological management" medication is never presented like this. Well known info is presented here, without being needed.</li> <li>9. Nursing management: in this section directions are given (absurd !!) using bullets (!)</li> <li>10. All the case report is actually presented in the Discussion, in an actually summarized way.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kali Makedou</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AHEPA University Hospital of Thessaloniki, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece</b>