

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76977
Title of the Manuscript:	Circulating leptin, insulin and thyroid profile levels in different age groups of Women Population of Manipur, India
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>We should explain how we chose the age categories in pre menopause, menopause and post menopause. This article did not explain why they chose under 40 as pre-menopause and more than 50 as post menopause. Please find some references that categories age in pre / post menopause,</p> <p>the article did not show any control subject. I suggest the control group has subject with age range 20 to 39 years old.</p> <p>The study was found the phenomena of thyroid hormone, leptin and insulin and their relation to age in human and they explain the relationship between the 3 hormone and the menopause status. Several problems arose when the authors could not explain why BMI in the postmenopausal group was lower than in the menopausal group.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Age was connection to imbalance of glucose metabolism In discussion, the author wrote about age categorized which is the range of the post - menopause from 55 to 45. Please make a correction.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>References</p> <p>Please add the new references from the last 5 years references.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ignatio Rika Haryono</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Catholic University of Indonesia, Indonesia</i>