

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76965
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis and Management of Surgical Site Infections
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paragraph, I understand that it is a narrative review. I think it would be convenient to add in the title that it is a narrative review: Diagnosis and Management of Surgical Site Infections: narrative review</p> <p>I suggest as keywords Key words: Surgical site infections, diagnosis, management, review</p> <p>The headings in a narrative review writing, should include only title, summary, introduction, development, and conclusion, with their references</p> <p>I suggest changing discussion for development</p> <p>In this paragraph repeats the same data that were exposed in the other sections, I suggest changing the paragraph: Surgical site infections are a common surgical complication that affects approximately 3% to 6% of all surgical procedures according to different studies: By de According to different studies, infections of the surgical site are a common complication.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Publishable with minor changes and specifying the type of manuscript to be written</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Antonio Lugo Machado
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Sonora, México