

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76945
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON MEDICINAL PLANTS EXHIBITING ANTIFERTILITY ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript requires the following revisions- <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The reason/basis for selecting only these medicinal plants should also be given in the introduction.• The duration selected for the review study be also mentioned.• The medicinal plants selected for the review study includes antifertility effect on male or female rats, whereas this is not mentioned in the introduction part.• Few more plants showing antifertility effect in males can also be included as in the manuscript only four plants have been mentioned which shows antifertility effect in male rats. .	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript can be improved further by adding few more medicinal plants so as to justify the objective of the problem.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is good but the text highlighted in yellow color need to be rechecked. Idea/theme of the problem is good. Can be recommended only after the required changes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajesh Yadav
Department, University & Country	JECRC University, India