

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76936
Title of the Manuscript:	RP-HPLC method development and validation for cleaning residue determination of Tofacitinib citrate in Tofacitinib tablets
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This research work can be interesting for wide range of readers and can open new window towards researchers but this paper is not suitable for publication at this form it should be revised well and rewrite, several issues should be considered before possible publication:</p> <p>1. At first, all the paper should be revised correctly and accurately for many writing and grammar mistakes. you can use programs like grammly to improve your writing.</p> <p>2. The introduction needs some improvements: for example: paragraph about the importance of HPLC chromatographic methods for analysis of drugs. Several recent, related works should be referred and cited to further strengthen the research background. For example:</p> <p><u>K.M. Kelani, E.S. Elzanfaly, A.S. Saad, M.K. Halim, M.B. El-Zeiny, Different greenness assessment perspectives for stability-indicating RP-HPLC method used for the assay of isoxsuprine hydrochloride and four nephrotoxic and hepatotoxic photothermal degradation products, Microchem. J. 171 (2021) 106826. doi: 10.1016/j.microc.2021.106826.</u></p> <p><u>Kelani, K.M., Shalaby, A.A., Elmaamly, M.Y., Halim, M.K. Quantitative determination of two anti-hypertensive drugs in their combined dosage form by spectrophotometric and high pressure liquid chromatographic methods. ACAIJ, 14(10) 2014 [394-403]</u></p> <p>3. why you don't make abbreviations foe ex: for Tofacitinib instead of stating it as a total word in every time.</p> <p>4. system suitability results for the methods are not complete. you should complete them and stated according to what you calculated them (ICH or USP).</p> <p>5. in the HPLC method development state the reason for your choices (why heating the column, why detection at 289 nm and so on).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael Kamel Halim Kamel
Department, University & Country	6th of October University, Egypt