

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76925
Title of the Manuscript:	Open VS closed techniques for Laparoscopic abdominal surgeries
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> is too long. I should be concise into 250 words at least. Show brief idea of studying. The statistical techniques can move to the methods. Indicate clearly to study groups. They are should called the subjects, the patients in group No.1 and No.2, or group A and group B throughout the paper. Do not mix up.</p> <p><b>Methods</b> should state the place of study completely e.g. the city the country. Show the way of study with easy to understand, for example</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Patient recruitment; who is enrolled to this study who is not? Give more information about ethical approval and inform consent.</li> <li>2. The characters of the patients in each group should give in table e.g age, sex, weight, type of diseases and the methods they underwent to an operation.</li> <li>3. Explain the statistical technique used to analyse the data between two groups.</li> <li>4. Give the real percentage on the top of each bar of graphs to show the correct data.</li> <li>5. Indicate the minor or major complications; may be in tables that easy to look up.</li> <li>6. Show the pictures or graphics of open and close operation to make more understandable.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>There are some grammatic errors. The beginning of sentence should start with alphabet not numeric code. The real number and the percentage of patients in each data should indicate before use. It looks confuse with both forms.</p> <p>The discussion and the conclusion are good.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Anyanee Pussabongkot</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Thammasat University, Thailand</i></b>