

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76880
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rare Case of Renal Squamous Cell Carcinoma with Underlying Staghorn Calculus as Confounding Factor
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The authors present an interesting case report regarding staghorn calculi and underlying indolent squamous cell carcinoma.</p> <p>The paper would benefit greatly from English language editing as several errors throughout. Furthermore, enhanced description of why the kidney was removed should be described.</p> <p>Finally, several key references regarding ongoing management and diagnostic considerations are missing. PMID: 28393107 should be cited to describe potential metastasis routes from the pelvis. PMID: 28579648 should be cited to describe potential chemotherapy options and/or radiation. PMID: 29631083 should be cited to discuss cancer management in rural settings where prognosis is poor.</p> <p>If the above references are cited and English language edited, the paper would be of interest to the readership.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The above references must be added.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Brandon Lucke-Wold
Department, University & Country	University of Florida, USA