

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76882
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-cov-2 antibodies in covid-19 patients in Hyderabad, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Write more details about the randomization method, to clarify more... For example, to understand if you have balanced groups;</li> <li>- To allow for the reproducibility of the study, it is important to mention the product/country brands in the methodology of each of the mentioned tests;</li> <li>- It was unclear which serological test was used to identify SARS-CoV-2 infection and whether information from the medical record was retrieved and what it was used for. For example, if PCR was performed or data retrieved from medical records;</li> <li>- Methodology is an extremely important part of the work to ensure quality and reproducibility. It is necessary to improve, reorder according to a chronological order of the facts to better clarify the work performed;</li> <li>- Improve topic presentation. I suggest reorganizing and including all collected data in the topic and participant study design.</li> <li>- What is the sampling methodology used to consider this sample number, it is representative for the whole country?</li> <li>- To check the presentation of groups by age group.</li> <li>- In the methodology, many variables were presented, which were not evaluated in the results. Making the results very simple.</li> <li>- Approval by the research ethics committee was not mentioned.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To check the template provided by the journal;</li> <li>- I suggest including percentages in Figure 1.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Giovana Tavares dos Santos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hospital Moinhos de Vento, Brazil</b>