

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76874
Title of the Manuscript:	Rehabilitation of a 55-year-old Man's Inter Trochanteric fracture: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article deals with a case study of a 55-year-old man who suffered an intertrochanter fracture, aiming to address the particularities of the physical therapy treatment that was performed after the surgical procedure. The topic is relevant, as although it is a case study, this occurrence is very frequent in the elderly, and can bring inconvenience due to the difficulty in recovering these people.</p> <p>The work is interesting, but it can be improved in some respects:</p> <p><b>1. Abstract:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This section does not accurately summarize the work performed. The patient's case and a conclusion are presented, without, however, presenting the physiotherapy procedures performed.</li> <li>- The objective of the work was not described.</li> <li>- Some formatting changes seem to have made some words misspelled.</li> </ul> <p><b>2. Introduction:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some sentences can be rewritten, to facilitate understanding by the reader and so that the English conjugation can be checked.</li> </ul> <p><b>3. Patient information:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some information is repeated in a few sentences. I suggest that authors rewrite these sentences to group the information and avoid unnecessary repetition. (parts underlined in the article)</li> </ul> <p><b>4. Medical Management:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some acronyms are in the text without having been explained the first time they appear. It is worth remembering that not all future readers of this work are familiar with the specific terms in this area. (parts underlined in the article)</li> </ul> <p><b>5. Discussion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This session seems extremely short. In particular, the objective of the work was not discussed. One suggestion would be for the authors to further explain the procedures used by physical therapy, considering the objective of the techniques, comparing them with other techniques or other similar studies that have been successful in recovering patients in similar situations.</li> </ul> <p><b>6. Conclusion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This session should directly answer the objective of the work.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Check formatting of some sentences with misspelled words. (Parts underlined in the article)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	Very little information is presented regarding the ethical procedures performed. No approval of the project by a human research ethics committee is presented.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ana Quenia Gomes da Silva Allahdadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Bahia Ondina Campus, Brazil</b>