

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76853
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF MINDFULNESS MEDITATION ON EMOTIONAL MATURITY OF MOTHERS OF CEREBRAL PALSY CHILDREN.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Referencing numbering ..use superscript instead of line numbering e. g. A² Poor paragraphing Therefore we are conducting the study to ..re-frame. E.g., This study... AIM to be separated from Introduction The introduction should also focus on the theme of the study more than the condition. Under Method/design: Study variables were age.... etc. What's etc.? As a cross-sectional study, what are the limitations of this research? Intervention design: Author (s) to sequentially state the procedure they followed. The instrument used should have described differently and the scoring stated before now. The method did not indicate that the consents of the participants were obtained. RESULTS: Variables should as well be presented in tables for clarity before graphical representation. Tables should be properly described e. g. bar chart.... Inconsistent referencing style. Author should stick to one The discussion was not reported properly. It is riddled with lots of grammatical errors and inappropriate correlations Statistical analysis was mentioned but was not done. Author (s) should show the analysis using the SPSS as indicated in the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract; We used variables such as age, educational status, occupational status, income, marital status, region...to read Socio - "demographic questionnaire" Results: The research analysis....to read "analysis of results" ... After giving intervention... to read "after intervention" Itis ..to read it's or it is CP is handled through supportive drugs, surgery, and therapies...Re-frame There are various types of treatment are available...grammar, re-frame Therapy ...should be in the plural form Therapy can involve one or more of the... sentence is too long. Consider editing and splitting it. Kids...change to children The involvement.... to read 'The presence' The maturity.... remove 'The' The young infant is very dependent on the mother, and he strives ...tenses, correct. which depends on upon1. Mindfulness-Based.... Re-frame Inclusion criteria No. 3; Tenses.....age of the child are.... STATISTICAL ANALYSIS: P value repeated DISCUSSION: actively participated....maintain consistency in reporting. Bostock et al (2019) studied... what is 'app' . In our study, the... confusing, please re – frame What are limitations of this study. Referencing was written with both capital and small letters, author(s) should use the capitals and small letters appropriately.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The author (s) should state clearly the steps used in the methodology of this study.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Nwoba Nweke
Department, University & Country	Ebonyi State University, Nigeria