

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76837
Title of the Manuscript:	HANDLING OF MEDICAL DEVICES: REFORMS AND REGULATIONS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>1. I think that authors could specify goal of the review study at the beginning of the manuscript. They illustrate the main problems in medical devices regulation but it is not absolutely clear why they started this study.</p> <p>2. Why the authors illustrate and comment FDA guidelines only. In Europe and other regions there are other specialized rules. The authors should introduce readers in the study aspect - why and what market issues (Europe, USA, Asia etc) they would like to illustrate.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zornitsa Spasova- Mitkova
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria