

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76830
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report on Ebsteins Anomaly
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Its Ebstein Anomaly, Not Ebstein's anomaly. 2. Terminology "Severe ASD" was used in multiple places. There is no such term as severe ASD. 3. Diuretics in ebstein are usually used to offload heart and prevent or treat failure. 4. 1st line of discussion "The cardiac abnormalities, such as pulmonary valve stenosis or atresia, atrial septal defect, or ventricular septal defect, might cause Ebstein anomaly." None of these are causes of Ebstein, rather these are associated findings or part of complex congenital anomalis. 5. In nursing monitoring daily vitals measurement should have been highlighted along with ECG as they have high risk of rhythm abnormality. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 4 of Abstract "The female patient who is 25-years was admitted" could have been stated as "25 years old female patient was admitted...". 2. Line 8 of abstract spelling "confirmed" is wrong. There are multiple spelling mistakes throughout. 3. Using 'For 2 months" makes better sense than "since 2 months" in abstract and intro as its grammatical mistake. 4. Surgical treatment is a major modality in Ebstein which should have been mentioned in discussion and preparation and recovery period nursing management needs to be discussed. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Good presentation and discussion. Some aspects as mentioned above should be revised and discussion should be improved and nursing care in case of medical as well as surgical management should be elaborated more.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ankan Kumar Paul
Department, University & Country	Barts Health NHS Trust, UK