

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76819
Title of the Manuscript:	Virtual Screening of FDA Approved Drugs Library to Identify a Potential Inhibitor Against NS2B-NS3 Protease of Yellow Fever Virus.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The title</b> - Virtual Screening of FDA Approved Drugs Library to Identify a Potential Inhibitor Against NS2B-NS3 Protease of Yellow Fever Virus. Is interesting and shows the novelty of Authors determination.</p> <p><b>Abstract-</b> Authors are advised to incorporate important points which I attached in this copy separately, kindly re-phrase the sentence in your own words.</p> <p><b>Introduction-</b> Despite, well written introduction part, minor corrections needed, that shows the significance of the entire article, and please note once again this <b>Yellow fever is listed in OIE neglected disease*</b>. And give the reference the same.</p> <p><b>NOTE:</b> I attached some hints, Authors are advised to follow and rephrase the sentence in your own words accordingly, it is mandatory.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions, Conclusions</b> part are written well no further changes required.</p> <p><b>Reference</b> sections are properly cited.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors are advised "<b>Genus species</b>" are always <i>Italics</i> font</li> <li>2. Repeated reference always should write (<b>1, 1a, 1b, 1c etc.</b>), please see some relevant articles for the reference.</li> <li>3. For "keywords" advised revise once.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>V. Muralidharan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>AMET University, India</i>