

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76815
Title of the Manuscript:	Bilateral task-oriented training and strengthening leads to improvement in gross motor functioning in patients post-ischemic stroke: An interesting Case study
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Shorten Background -this is common sense.</p> <p>Introduction: Shorten stroke pathophysiology -this is common sense.</p> <p>Patient Information: Provide additional information on blood pressure, atrial fibrillation; pre-medication; social status and interest before stroke (some are mentioned in PT section - move upward to Patient Information section) Provide additional information on initial and follow-up control of voluntary movements of right arm (preferably using a Fugl-Meyer-Assessment); Provide additional information on impairments of sequencing complex movements (such as apraxia)</p> <p>Physiotherapy interventions Provide additional information on daily duration of therapy, frequency per week, number of repetitions, self-management (exercising without therapist).</p> <p>Results / Discussion first sentence is misleading; although early start of exercising is important, size and location of brain lesion are impacting much more on recovery; this seems to be a lacunar ischemic stroke with a good recovery (see data from EPOS study)</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Patient Information: Provide additional information social status and interest before stroke. (provided in PT section - move upward to Patient Information section)</p> <p>References: Add literature on stroke recovery; for instance: Nijland, R. H., van Wegen, E. E., Harmeling-van der Wel, B. C., & Kwakkel, G. (2010). Presence of finger extension and shoulder abduction within 72 hours after stroke predicts functional recovery: early prediction of functional outcome after stroke: the EPOS cohort study. Stroke, 41(4), 745-50. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.109.572065.)</p> <p>Quality of written English: could be improved.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Case report could be improved by providing additional clinical information as outlined above.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Klemens Fheodoroff
Department, University & Country	Austria