

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number	Ms_JPRI_76812
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiotherapy Rehabilitation in Subject with Left Scapular Osteochondroma: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Thank you for letting me review this work, according to the journal's scope this paper does not seem to follow the interest of the journal	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>English grammar should be reviewed,</p> <p>Introduction: Further background of physiotherapy approaches, treatment and prognosis of osteochondroma would be convenient.</p> <p>Case presentation is poorly described, patients' history, present pain, description of pain, 24h pain patterns, pain level in a numeric scale... chronology of pain and symptoms, fully description of previous physiotherapy treatment.</p> <p>Methods and material of measurement of ROM, differential diagnosis test, etc</p> <p>Therapeutic Intervention: I would suggest editing a table with the treatment, progression criteria are missed, description of the exercise, maybe add pictures, description of the resistant band (level of resistance).</p> <p>Specify goal of the treatment: mobility, strength but what and how, outcome measures of strength are not reported</p> <p>Discussion does not argue about physiotherapy treatment but about osteochondroma itself, which could be part of the introduction as well.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The article needs further detail describing the case study, and intervention, material and methods, outcome measures, etc. In addition the discussion is not based on the results of the physiotherapy treatment. Reference seems not sufficient	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silvia Ortega-Cebrián
Department, University & Country	Universidad Internacional de Catalunya, Spain