

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76801
Title of the Manuscript:	NETARSUDIL – A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) The results have to be mentioned in short in the Abstract too2) What is the role of ROCK in TMW3) Why is there a difference in continuation of Medicine in each group, why prescription not followed uniformly?4) Number of subjects allotted to each category to be mentioned5) How long the IOP was maintained normal after discontinuation of the drug?	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Expand all the abbreviations2) Do you recommend a combination of eye drops to avoid the side effects?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anitha Venugopal
Department, University & Country	Aravind Eye Hospital, India