

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76789
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSIOTHERAPY REHABILITATION IN POST OPERATIVE TIBIA- FIBULA FRACTURE WITH EXTERNAL FIXATORS.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is a well-documented article, with modern bibliographic references; not all bibliographic references contain the author (s). - The title refers to the physiotherapeutic treatment, but the introduction does not contain any reference to the role, content, general characteristics of the physiotherapeutic protocol. - It does not appear what research methods and data collection tools were used. - In the chapter "Therapeutic intervention" is provided a period of 12 weeks, but in Management the intervention stops at weeks 4-6. - Physical pain (as a consequence of an injury) has a pronounced subjective character; no pain assessment scale is referred to. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Some questions can be asked: is pain reduction a consequence of therapeutic intervention, is there a proven correlation? Were analgesics/painkillers used in postoperative treatment?</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>In the Discussions chapter, there are references to exercises that were not described in the Management part (isometric exercises, stretching).</p> <p>The statement "Physiotherapy has a significant effect in pain reduction, strength and range of motion" (in the Conclusions part) is not supported without the use of a test of statistical significance.</p> <p>In my opinion, physiotherapeutic program is insufficiently described: what kind of action (passive, semi-active, active), how many repetitions for each exercise, with what duration of the breaks (to deduce the progression from one stage of intervention to another) and so on. Specialists in the field of physiology of exercise (Bangsbo J., Wilmore J.H, Costill D.L), recommend planning exercise stimuli in cycles of 3-5 weeks; presentation of these intervention cycles in graphic form, can give a synthetic but comprehensive image of the characteristics of the physiotherapeutic intervention.</p>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Gheorghe lucaciu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Oradea, Romania</i>