

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76780
Title of the Manuscript:	A SURVEY OF ADVANCED DEEP MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES IN EMERGENCY HEALTH CARE USING MEDICAL HEALTH RECORDS
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In general, the article is technically sound, however, you need to address the following:</p> <p>abstract: 1. move the "EHR" beside its sentence in the first column 2. the objective/ goal of the manuscript is not observed in the abstract! 3. overall, the abstract need to revise.</p> <p>keywords: processing/ pre-processing! hybrid! (general words), keywords should be specified and clear.</p> <p>introduction: 1. your paragraphs should be cited. the overall "introduction" has poor references 2. the goal\ objective and motivation should be added to the introduction clearly 3. where is your contribution to this survey! 4. add final paragraphs describing the rest structure of the manuscript 5. overall, the introduction needs to revise</p> <p>background in general, poor references in addition, 1. add the abbreviation (MHR) to the headline (i) change processing into pre-processing 2. "difficulties" p 6 "the drawbacks" and so on... cite please (this is survey !!) in addition to all figures should be their references</p> <p>conclusion: what is your find out, maybe you can discuss first</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - check the numbering of the sections - check the references style (should follow the journal style) - the figs 1,2,3,4,5 explanations must be addressed in the body of the manuscript. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall, your survey needs more citations\references, tables of related works, critical comparison if possible.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inass shahadha Hussein
Department, University & Country	Middle Technical University. Iraq