

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76696
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY REHABILITATION FOR HEMIPARESIS FOLLOWING CEREBRAL VENOUS SINUS THROMBOSIS: A RARE CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall, the idea of research is very interesting to be studied nowadays and paper is coherently developed. However, there are some comments and suggestions. Overall comment: the manuscript needs to be checked for editing and grammar</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is recommended not to use abbreviation in abstract - Background should to be concise - Replace (Presentation of case) with methods) - Clarify the assessment, outcome measures, duration of treatment - Replace (Discussion) with (results) <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - At the end of the discussion you need to clarify your purpose and hypothesis. <p>Patient information and clinical finding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Should be written under title of methods - You need to clarify what is being assessed, the scales or instruments used for assessment and their validity, and the assessment procedures - The rehabilitation program is good presented in tables but the number of sessions and duration are not clear - Authors should label each table as (table 1, tables 2) and to cite the table in related texts <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Statistical procedures and results are not clear what are the variables and how did you measure the improvement? Did you measure pre and post mean values of the variables? <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Too concise and doesn't clarify the work - Did you use kinesio taping in your treatment program? - What are the limitations of your study? 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please see the attached manuscript with rack changes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amira Mahmoud Abd-elmonem
Department, University & Country	Cairo university, Egypt