

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76695
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF BALANCE TRAINING AND CO-ORDINATION EXERCISES IN POST-OPERATIVE LEFT CEREBELLOPONTINE ANGLE TUMOR: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This manuscript should have the English check - The primarily aimed to explore the balance and coordination exercises on the cerebellopontine angle tumor . The authors bring an interesting study, but there are still some problems that can not up this review to a publishing level. Some suggestions are listed in the specific comments below. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Patient information section, please correct English “swallowing” instead of “swallowin”, “advice” instead of “adivided”</p> <p>“Clinical findings” section,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- When the findings were made? Before the surgery of after the surgery 2- “On examination he presented mild impairment in balance and co-ordination, walk with support”. Please correct English “impairment” instead of “impairment”. 3- The authors need to clarify the mild impairment in balance. This sentence is so general. There are static and dynamic balance and the authors should describe the tests in clinical that they made. 4- The ROM, MMSE need to write whole words before using abbreviation 5- According to International Classification, Disability and Health (ICF), “walk with support” need to clarify which level +1 or +2 or more. 6- The English correction “exaggerated” instead of “exggaerated”, “attached” instead of “attatched” 7- The facial nerve contributes 3 areas face expression. That should be described by using manual muscle testing (MMT) of the face. Please give more detail about the muscle grade of specific face muscle. <p>“Therapeutic intervention” section,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Please kindly shortly describe Habituation exercises, Proprioceptive Neuromuscular facilitation exercises, Frenkel’s exercises. Especially, the “exercises for static and dynamic balance in standing” need more detail. 2- The exercises program might give more detail, such as the repetitions, the rest between sets. The authors maybe use the suggestion of Marco Toigo et al., DOI 10.1007/s00421-006-0238-1, “New fundamental resistance exercise determinants of molecular and cellular muscle adaptations”. There were 13 descriptions for exercises program. 3- What was ergonomic exercises, please shortly describe. <p>“Follow-up and outcomes” section,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The authors mentioned “a tremendous improvement in the Berg balance scale score, DGI Score, and the WHO-QOL post-rehabilitation”. This is confused when the authors mentioned the” improvement” without any description before intervention about Berg balance, DGI Score and the WHO-QOL. 2- Please shortly describe the Berg balance, DGI and WHO-QOL. <p>“Discussion” section</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The English correction “a person” or “people” instead of “persons” 2- The sentence “Many researches have shown that central vestibular disorders proves improvement in balance” was so confused, please make it clear 3- Please add more discussion to explain how balance coordination exercises could improve the CPA, and add some references as well. 	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	In general, the manuscript should add more references to support the mentioned ideas. The authors should give more detail about the outcome measures, and intervention programs both objective and subjective.	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> This manuscript meet the ethical criteria	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hoang Ngoc Tuyet Trinh
Department, University & Country	Hong Bang International University, Vietnam