

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76675
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Quality of life among Antenatal Mothers with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in a selected Districts, Tamil Nadu, India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Title:</b> your area of study novel and good, but the research article title needs modification, if it is not modified; not suitable for publication</p> <p>It miss, the name authors with affiliation, there is typographical errors</p> <p><b>ABSTRACT:</b> there is comments available in original research articles</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION:</b> better to stick on your outcome variable, you're out need modification and rewriting.</p> <p><b>MATERIALS AND METHODS:</b> The way analyses you conduct is not clear and not to the points, see more the comments provided in the original research articles.</p> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:</b> These sections miss the discussion part.</p> <p>only you come up with the result</p> <p>you miss the instructions to Authors ,how you prepare the munscripit ,before you come up with research munscripit ,better to see autours guide line, You miss components of munscripit préparation like operational définition(measurement of variables), Study Setting, Design, and Period study/source popoplation,sampling procedure, Data Collection and Quality Assurance Procedures,,,,,etc better to stick in autours guide how you prepare your munscripit. Your table and figure préparation out of journal guide line and It miss the end notes</p> <p>Your research article miss components and finally it lacks sense to understand the idea of your munscripit.</p> <p>some your reference lacks year publication</p> <p>And try to see the comments given to you original research munscripit.</p> <p>finally your munscripit needs serious modification and correction ,for next</p> <p>I need you to address the whole comments.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>I need the letter of support with reference number from ERB/IRC</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yilma Markos Larebo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wachemo University, Ethiopia</b>