

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76671
Title of the Manuscript:	Semen and spermatozoa characteristics in alcohol users and non-users
Type of the Article	Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In <b>ABSTRACT: Introduction</b> frame the sentence with correct scientific paradigm like `Increasing infertility rate worldwide raises research to investigate plausible reason health community`.</p> <p>What are criteria set for the Normal morphological forms, please write in the Material &amp; Method section.</p> <p>Does authors considered the mean speed of the sperms to compare with alcohol users vs non-users. It could be a good reference point as well.</p> <p>Please provide the software and more details about the <b>Statistical analysis</b>.</p> <p>Did authors consider the smoking history along with alcohol consumption, which could have direct impact on sperm quality?</p> <p>Provide method of sperm collections and storage for the analysis. Further details about motility needed. I suggest refereeing two current papers <a href="https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b03665">https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b03665</a> &amp; <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/mi11040448">https://doi.org/10.3390/mi11040448</a> to cite adding motility analysis details in materials and method section.</p> <p>Over all I found reporting system, poorly explained and needs to be updated before article can be accepted.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ajay Vikram Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>German Federal Institute for risk assessment (BfR), Germany</b>