

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76662
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Sensory integration, neurodevelopmental therapy and behavioral therapy on overall development in a child with cerebral palsy: An interesting case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Editor,</p> <p>I evaluated the study titled "Effect of Sensory integration, neurodevelopmental therapy and behavioral therapy on overall development in a child with cerebral palsy: An interesting case report". In this study, the authors presented a 2.5-year-old child diagnosed as cerebral palsy (CP) with various sensory, behavioral and motor impairments. She was given intense sensory integration, NDT and behavioral therapy, at the end of 6 months there was tremendous improvement in child's behavior, motor impairments and sensory symptoms.</p> <p>In this case, the classification of cerebral palsy and its topographic features should be written in more detail. Features should be specified on brain magnetic resonance imaging. How is hypotonia to be explained when spasticity is expected in the extremities in cerebral palsy? Hypotonic CP is no longer included in the CP classifications. The fact that the patient started treatment at the age of 2.5 can be considered late in terms of early interventions. It should be explained what does "NDT" mean?</p> <p>The discussion part of the study should be more detailed.</p> <p>I think that this case report is insufficient in terms of originality and adding new information to the literature.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Coskun Yazar
Department, University & Country	Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Turkey