

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76659
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of early and goal directed physiotherapy intervention on gross motor function in a child with Japanese Encephalitis: A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title: the word benefitst is more appropriate than effect</p> <p>Abstract; enough- Japanese encephalitis, <u>Encephalitis</u>.</p> <p>Introduction. The virus that causes Japanese encephalitis ---- JE <u>JEV</u> has a 5–15 day incubation period. The clinical disease ranges from a vague febrile sickness to a severe condition</p> <p>First sentence. should be Japanese encephalitis virus.</p> <p>Patient History: Other supportive treatments need to be mentioned in outline, very important because they are part of improvement eg good nutrition , better to be explained</p> <p>Physiotherapy Management: When is it given and for how long.? Is it s it every day?, technically? should be explained . Is it done every day in 4 weeks? what is the applications of protocol? so that readers may got practical benefits</p> <p>Discussion Discussions need to be added and sharpened with examples of the same case if possible. The consequencesr if physiotherapy is not carried out early. It is important to compare, Do not to late to manage the case to reduce squele ? better to explained the follow-up at home how and what was the result ? better to explained What modality was used and how was it supervised? better to explained what is kovela home program and what are the advantages? better to explained the reasons</p> <p>Conclusion: Of course, this improvement include other supportive therapies in line and sync with the physiotherapy being carried out. explained it there is a need for suggestions regarding this experience and to follow up its development including cognitive function.</p>	

Comment [L1]: No Need...enough JE

Comment [L2]: JE

Comment [L3]:

Comment [L4R3]: First sentence. should be Japanese encephalitis virus.

[Review Form 1.6](#)

	References: No 6.. NO AUTHOR'S NAME? Better to add references from Japan regarding this experience	
Optional/General comments	it's need improvement to be more informative.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamzah Shatri
Department, University & Country	University of Indonesia, Indonesia