

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76654
Title of the Manuscript:	Reconsidering the Role of Phytochemicals against Covid 19 in Light of their Pharmacological Profiles and Pharmaceutical Technology
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are few grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word "potential" may be as "a potential"; "management" as "the management"; "lycorine, and chloroquine was" as "lycorine, and chloroquine were". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the word "Intoduction" may be as "Introduction"; "Sars-cov 2" as "SARS-COV 2"; "suggesting" as "suggest"; "measuring" as "measured"; "glycyrrhizic" as "glycyrrhizic"; "for example,.". The typos not mentioned here also to be checked and corrected properly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, pharmacokinetics (PK), etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears and followed by only the first letter of the genus in both the abstract and the remaining part of the manuscript (e.g., <i>Salvia miltiorrhiza</i> when the first time appears and followed by <i>S. miltiorrhiza</i>). And also the plant name should be italic.</p> <p>3. The superscript and subscript have to be given properly (For example, "EC50, IC50" "50" shold be subscripted properly).</p> <p>44. The authors have not cited the references properly in the text part. For example, Cottart, Nivet-Antoine V Fau - Beaudoux et al. is not given with year).</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The authors have put effort to review the "Reconsidering the Role of Phytochemicals against Covid 19 in Light of their Pharmacological Profiles and Pharmaceutical Technology". The authors describe the review undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by their group. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India