

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76642
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPRESSION OF CD4, CD8 BIOMARKERS IN INVASIVE CARCINOMA OF BREAST WITH CLINICOPATHOLOGICAL CORRELATION
Type of the Article	Empirical

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I believe that the results themselves can be further explored in the discussion by making comparisons with those mentioned. And write a running text, but not in the form of topics. This it'll to value what was findings of this manual.	
Minor REVISION comments	The fourth line of the introduction was written "many studies", but the author or authors refer to only one study "3". I suggest adding more references or changing the beginning of the sentence by the singular, for example: "a study reviewing several others..." This is a care that values the work. In my opinion, this sentence needs further affirmation and reference. "TNBCs are said to have more mutations compared to other subtypes, which could be paramount targets for immunotherapy."	
Optional/General comments	Great job	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, there is data analysis that involves the confidentiality of human patients, but it has already been approved by the ethics committee.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosane Malvestiti
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil