

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76637
Title of the Manuscript:	A RARE CASE OF SALMONELLA TYPHI PRESENTING WITH TONSILLITIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The case study is interesting, important in the social issue, since this case took place in India and we know that Typhoid fever is a disease of high prevalence in Asia.</p> <p>I list below some important topics for review by the authors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 - Authors need to review the manuscript, as they do not put a period at the end of the sentence, words are found without separation, such as: yearold, gradewith, among others, marked in the text. 2 - Names of bacterial species without being in italics. Typhi with a capital T and must be a small one. 3 - Several abbreviations, marked in the text, without explanation of what they mean. Even though they are known by the medical community, they must be described in a scientific article. 4 - The authors only presented 2 references, and in a brief literature review, they found several case studies of tonsillitis by <i>Samonella typhi</i> and <i>paratyphi</i> in Asia. 5 - It was not described whether the patient agreed with the publication of the case, nor was he presented to an Ethics Committee. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The impression of this manuscript is that it was written quickly without concern for what I described above. For publication, I believe a general review is needed.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> It was not described whether the patient agreed with the publication of the case, nor was he presented to an Ethics Committee.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tania Mara Pinto Dabés Guimarães
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal De Minas Gerais, Brasil