

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76609
Title of the Manuscript:	TUBERCULOUS MENINGITIS: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objective of the case presentation is not clear 2. Methodology is mixed with introduction and management 3. Reference NO 4 is repetition of reference No 3 4. Conclusion is not in line with the objective 5. Five out of eight references are older than six years, need replacement with new ones 6. Clinical presentations and management is mixed up 7. Poor synthesis of sentences with grammatical mistakes 8. More references are needed in introduction , clinical management and discussion 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many sentences do not make sense like in medical management, physical examination 2. Sentences are not written in sequence. Part of one section is interrupted by sentences from the other section which disturbs the flow of the writing 3. Sections on introduction, medical management and discussion need rewriting 	
Optional/General comments	Good attempt to highlight an important disease especially prevalent in poor countries	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Muhammad Idris</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Ayub Medical College, Pakistan</i>