

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76602
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT ON CEREBRAL VENOUS SINUS THROMBOSIS
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introduction needs to be supported by references.</li> <li>1. The language quality of the manuscript needs improvement following the academic style of writing. There are many examples of general writing styles throughout the text which need revision/ rewriting. Few examples are: "The clot prevents blood from leaving the brain"; "Cerebral vein thrombosis or venous sinus thrombosis is a far less prevalent cause of cerebral infarction than arterial sickness."; "A 26-year-old man was sent to the Bhave Rural Hospital of Acharya in the previous 5 days with the major complaint of headache and nausea, upper limb monoplegia and one episode of seizures since yesterday, 2 bouts of seizures tonight.". "The right high parietal region is affected due to an e/o signal intensity lesion with DWI restriction and the concomitant low signal intensity of ADC, T2WI/FLAIR with a hyperintensity and T1W1 isointensity with small patches of GRE's/o acute venous offence. Noted the partial vacuum sella."; "An unusual cerebral sinus thrombosis with hospital administration is documented successfully."</li> <li>2. Spelling and typing errors need corrections. Eg: "The MRI brain was checked with MRV. Order of the doctor to take lesion. Phenytoin, lesion. Levpril, lesion. Levpele, lesion. Emset, lesion. Optinewon, lesion."; "KETWORD"</li> <li>3. Case presentation is difficult to follow. Rewrite following a standard format of a case report.</li> <li>4. The readers may be interested to see a summarized presentation that highlights the importance of this case report. There are unnecessary descriptions in the investigation and management sections.</li> <li>5. The discussion is good.</li> <li>6. References need formatting</li> <li>7. Citations do not follow a chronological order and need to include appropriately.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Include few figures. Eg: an image of MRI ect.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Poor presentation as a case report with multiple/ grammer, English and typing errors. Writing style needs major improvement.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Ethical issues not discussed. ?? Consent obtained for reporting the case. However, confidentiality of the patient has not been breached.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Iroshani Kodikara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Ruhuna, Sri Lanka</b>