

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76555
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevention of consequences by using poor ergonomics during online education for children at home
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In general, the article is an interesting contribution that attempts to raise awareness to apply appropriate ergonomics in remote learning process in children. However, several adjustments are needed to be suitable for publication.</p> <p>English quality <u>must be</u> improved to higher level in all manuscript. It has to be adjusted to be more understandable for readers. Some statements are really hard to understand in all manuscript.</p> <p>The title should address more the main idea of article, and should be easier to understand: e.g. Preventive recommendations to reduce the impact of poor ergonomics on children during online learning</p> <p>Abstract It is ok, but you should be exact in several things. When was the curfew called? Start of remote learning (exact date), Is it still ongoing? Was it called all over the country? Was it stopped and recall again?</p> <p>You stated that eyes irritation is the consequence of poor ergonomics. Do you have some evidence in research?</p> <p>Introduction Same like abstract, exact dates when was the online studying started etc.</p> <p>Please, provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the article addresses in the end of introduction. Why did you conduct this article? To seek what?</p> <p>Impact of Poor ergonomics in children</p> <p>What is poor eye monitor ergonomics? You should describe this term better, please.</p> <p>Fig 1: Importance of ergonomically essential equipment's This figure should be mentioned and described in the text with the link to figure itself before.</p> <p>Flexibility: What is the connection between flexibility and appropriate furniture, mention it here.</p> <p>Make It a Perfect Fit: I would add also importance of elbow supports in the chair, what is crucial to have cervical muscles relaxed during PC work (elbows are not in the air while working)</p> <p>Conclusion You mentioned that "importance of ergonomics helps to reduce the strain injuries and musculoskeletal health". You did not conduct any experiment, so based of what do you report this fact? You should consider to choose better word – e.g. might help or possibly helps</p> <p>You mentioned that "children need mini-intervals from sitting postures". The conclusion should be the summary of all your ideas, so this recommendation should be mentioned also before, e.g. in "Create a committed Work-Study area".</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Some statements and ideas are repeatedly mentioned in the manuscript. Please, identify these and reduce it. It is not comfortable to read it again and again. e.g. ...The following to be in place are: Feet on the ground or on a footrest (boxes and pillows can also do the trick) Seating.... adequate spinal support and feet on the floor or on a footrest, and to.... ...This includes a chair that keeps their feet on the ground while....	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Bartík
Department, University & Country	Prince Sultan University, Saudi Arabia