

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76547
Title of the Manuscript:	Case report on: Appendicitis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This case study discussed about the disease. Acute appendicitis (AA) is a widespread disease requiring emergency care. AA may progress rapidly if not treated early. The complications of appendicitis such as perforation peritonitis are common in the extremes of age group. In this case</p> <p>24yr old male was admitted in AVBRH on 11th march 2021 by his wife with the chief complaint of Pain in right iliac fossa, nausea, vomiting. On examination, there was moderate tenderness on deep palpation of the RIF and the physiological parameters including heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature and blood pressure were all within normal limits.</p> <p>When considering the anatomically higher appendix, it is noteworthy that examination findings are consistent with an appendix lying in the standard RIF location. Similar cases of subhepatic appendicitis accounted by Hafiz et al. (2017) and Ball et al. (2013) both report predominant RUQ pain as opposed to RLQ pain prompting an inaccurate consideration of biliary pathology.</p> <p>To conclude, this was a 24-year-old male who presented with RLQ pain and was diagnosed with acute appendicitis. The patient had an appendix located poster inferior to the inferior border of the liver</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The references most more than 5 years. There are seven from eleven references was old. If it possible the references at leas newer is more than older.	
Optional/General comments	For case study it could be accepted.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danny Faturachman
Department, University & Country	Darma Persada University, Indonesia