

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76541
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report on Peripheral Arterial Disease
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The subject of the manuscript is clinically important issue, but it has been presented in an inadequate way .</p> <p>The text is unreasonably extensive and contains a lot of information commonly considered as obvious, well-known at the level of medical students' knowledge. Additionally, the case is presented in a chaotic and disordered manner. In the 'Conclusion part' - no specific applications or any take-home message.</p> <p>This work does not meet the case report criteria. It does not present a specific medical case, an exceptional course of the disease, a specific diagnostic method or a form of treatment. It only draws attention to one of the important, well-known elements influencing the treatment process. Additionally, the authors present this issue without indicating the forms or methods of medical care that deserve attention.</p> <p>The manuscript is ineligible for publication - even with major revisions.</p> <p>I propose to the authors to consider a pilot study comparing, in example, two different methods of nursing care for patients with PAD.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Pawel Maga</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jagiellonian University Medical College Krakow, Poland</b>