

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76483
Title of the Manuscript:	Vital Abutment, Risk of Postoperative Sensitivity and Pulpal Complications in Respect of: Amount of Reduction, Temporization, Cement Type
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Clinical treatment of post-cementation hypersensitivity:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All options are preventive measures. There is no treatment as such. Author may benefit by rephrasing the heading accordingly.</li> <li>• A note on remaining dentin thickness for vital tooth preps may be beneficial to the study.</li> <li>• The use of guides such as depth cut burs, or the use of stents may be beneficial to prevent over enthusiastic preparation of teeth.</li> <li>• Important teeth and important - the concept is not quite clear. Aren't all teeth and all pulps important?</li> <li>• Zinc polycarboxylate cement may prove to be more useful as a luting agent in vital pulps, owing to larger molecular size and hence lesser subsequent hypersensitivity.</li> <li>• Figures can be incorporated explaining about double crowns, to give a better picture. A note on how they are fabricated may be incorporated for interested readers.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Philip Pradeep</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Penang International Dental College, Malaysia</b>