

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76439
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Artificial Intelligence in the Physiotherapy Rehabilitation of Distal Radial Fracture Patients: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>-I don't understand some sentences in the text. In my opinion a revision of English is needed.</p> <p>-What does the literature say about the adherence of each of these groups (young, older, men, women) to VR therapy? The prevalence of fractures is addressed, but the use of VR and its effects in these groups is not focused</p> <p>-The aim of the study should appear at the end of the background and not in one of the topic subchapters</p> <p>-There are several contradictions in the text, for example the authors refer that there was a considered number of participants evaluated, which allows them to state that VR is preferable and then it is stated that the studies were not conclusive because the number of participants was reduced. The bibliographical references on which these statements/phrases are based, are missing.</p> <p>- There is a lot of information without reference which detracts from the credibility of the text</p> <p>-The authors mention the importance of an exercise program at home, which is highlighted in one of the subchapters. However, the way in which the studies adapt video games to patients with DRF is not addressed, which could be a strong point of this review</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>- The first appearance of the acronym DRS must be preceded by words written extensively</p> <p>- I think the authors should better explain how the use of AI can bring benefits in the assessment of radiography</p> <p>- To what extent can this VR technique be more effective than all the other interventions presented?</p> <p>- The presentation of bibliographic references must be reviewed, following the guidelines of the journal. All must be in same form.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The text must be revised, both in English and in the construction of sentences. However, the topic is very interesting and therefore I consider it of great importance but this review does not present the main points of the analysed studies as expected.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Margarida Maria Esteves Florindo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Escola Superior De Saúde Da Cruz Vermelha Portuguesa – Lisboa, Portugal</b>